

Timestamp	Your Postcode	Do you support the proposed building development?	What concerns do you have about the development?	What benefits do you see in the development?
5/16/2025 9:08:20	WS7 9EA	No	Insufficient infrastructure locally, wrong place for such a large development due to the lack of commuter links and the roads in this area are small and won't sustain the traffic.	None
5/16/2025 9:52:59	WS7 9EA	No	Insufficient infrastructure	None
5/16/2025 10:00:00	WS7 9LA	No	Loss of more green belt, increased traffic flow this lane is used by many walkers, runners and cyclists,	None at this moment in time, if we give this the green light is just opens up the possibility of yet more green belt disappearing !
5/16/2025 10:00:21	Ws79lq	No	Wrong place for extra houses due to narrow country road, spoils the area.	N/a
5/16/2025 10:02:20	Ws7 9jg	No		
5/16/2025 10:05:48	Ws72ej	No		
5/16/2025 10:08:22	WS7 2DW	No	Inappropriate use of Greenbelt.... council said local business should shut due to being inappropriate for green belt.... funny how 300 houses aren't!! Lack of infrastructure No thought for the environment and natural habitat	None
5/16/2025 10:26:37	WS7 9LA	No	The green belt disappearing, The increased traffic it will cause and the state of the road's, the effective it will have on our service such as GPs, Dentists, Schools,	No benefits at all!
5/16/2025 10:30:00	Ws74rd	No	Poor infrastructure in the area. Loss of wildlife	None
5/16/2025 10:30:29	Ws74xz	Yes		Houses available to move into! I want to live in the same area as my family
5/16/2025 10:30:39	Ws79lf	No	We are losing our fields that are filled with lovely nature . Burntwood has no infrastructure to cope with more houses and cars.	None whatsoever
5/16/2025 10:33:29	WS7 9LD	No	It is Green Belt land and the size of the development is to large for Burntwood There is no requirement for ships or a Community Centre in that area. The infrastructure of doctors and dentists In Burntwood cannot accommodate the No of homes. The road structure cannot Have all the extra vehicles in that area I object strongly to this proposed development	None
5/16/2025 10:33:49	Ws7 9ej	No	Burntwood and area is so over developed and over populated already enough is enoughwe need more concentration on roads,doctors, schools, refurbishing of existing sites ie Sankeys ...youth clubs ect the list goes onwe need Burntwood and area to look great and feel like home	None!!!

Timestamp	Your Postcode	Do you support the proposed building development?	What concerns do you have about the development?	What benefits do you see in the development?
5/16/2025 10:39:29	WS7 9DR	No	The impact on the current and already strained infrastructure in Burntwood. Both secondary schools are already over subscribed. We are down a GP surgery and we require more than one to meet demand. Advising they will designate an area to the school if they wish to expand is pushing the book back on the LA and the school to meet the needs of the new estate. The traffic down St Matthews Rd in particular needs to be managed with speed restrictions such as bumps or other ways, and in addition the impact on the local wildlife in particular, the area has owls, pheasants badgers and fox's all living happily and we have seen the impact on the deer with the new housing estates by morrison's. If this site is agreed there are a number of fields on the back of those and that opens that green belt to even more development and the original request of 1200 new homes on green belt becomes reality.	Admittedly the design of Bloor Homes in particular would look better within this area than other developers.
5/16/2025 10:52:36	WS7 9JE	No	Infrastructure structure to support all these new houses eg school places, doctors not growing at same rate and investment into Burntwood is poor. There are other brownfield site that could be built on before our beautiful greenbelt is used.	
5/16/2025 11:00:25	WS7 9QH	No	Not enough infrastructure, roads are already in a shocking state, using green belt when brownfield sites should be used, reducing access to countryside, devaluing nearby homes, unnecessary, small town with no funding for centre to be regenerated, too many vehicles already on parked up roads, ruining a lovely area used by local people	None at all
5/16/2025 11:00:39	Ws7 4ss	No	Should not be building on greenbelt land. This greatly affects our wildlife. We do not have the infrastructure in place to support. Doctors, schools, roads are already overstretched.	None
5/16/2025 11:01:29	WS7 9JG	No	negative impact on existing services for local residents, increased traffic, loss of beautiful green belt land, increased noise pollution - the list of negatives could be endless	
5/16/2025 11:01:33	WS79LD	No	This is Green Belt land. The proposed development is too large for Burntwood. The shops and community centre are not needed . The infrastructure of the area does not have the facilities of doctors And dentists to have all this extra housing. The roads to this proposed Site are already very busy without additional traffic I am strongly against this development	None
5/16/2025 11:05:27	Ws74tp	No	Additional strain in infrastructure such as schools, doctors, etc. loss of green spaces and affect on mental health and wellbeing of current residents in the area.	None
5/16/2025 11:05:30	Ws7 2dx	No	The amount of traffic that will be coming and going along Coulter lane along with the extra pressure on local amenities ie doctors surgeries, schools, nurseries etc	None
5/16/2025 11:11:16	WS7 1PU	No	There is not enough infrastructure to support this. We would need a new primary school as well as a high school as well as SEN placements (which there are not enough of as it is). Drs, dentists. The roads are already under too much strain with the development that has happened here already meeting the house building target. Not to mention it is our Green belt. How about you developers pick on some brown field sites to build and leave our precious green belt alone.	NONE
5/16/2025 11:14:47	WS7 0ET	No	Burntwood already overcrowded, no facilities	None

Timestamp	Your Postcode	Do you support the proposed building development?	What concerns do you have about the development?	What benefits do you see in the development?
5/16/2025 11:17:13	Ws7 9eb	No	I live on church road - we bought this property as we wanted to get away from the otherside of burntwood, with all the new builds on. I have quite severe anxiety and depression and wanted to be by the countryside, we bought the property on church road in November and wouldn't of purchased if we knew the scenery was going to be ruined.	Absolutely none. It's the quiet side of burntwood, with a lot of elderly residents, we have a lot of culture around this area, with the German gravesite, farmland and Christ church - I don't see how the council could allow this to be ruined
5/16/2025 11:21:06	Ws7 9eb	No	We need some greenery in burntwood and the east is the only part which we have! People want to live in this area as it's semi rural. The council didn't even allow us to render our property in this area, as it won't match the aesthetic, so I don't see how having a mass of new build houses will help - we have 0 infrastructure on coulter lane and church road is hugely busy with fulfen school being next to it. We only have one local doctors surgery too.	I don't see why the council would allow such a beautiful landscape to be ruined
5/16/2025 11:26:04	Ws7 0hw	No	Increase chance of flooding - farewell lane is a HUGE floodplane as it is! Environmental concerns, we have congestion on church road as it is, this would be unbearable with a new housing estate.	Well you'd attract more youths into the area, you will find the more affluent communities will move out
5/16/2025 11:28:29	Ws7 9ea	No	I have been here for 40 years. I love our local community, I am a church goer at the local church and I would be disgusted with this development, not only from a personal perspective but from an environmental and congestion perspective too. We need some green belt land left!	I do not see any benefit.
5/16/2025 11:31:01	WS79DW	No	We live very close to fields which flood, this gives the locals reassurance regarding flooding, even my back garden gets hugely bogged and I live on Farewell Lane! I absolutely love walking, I chose to buy a half a million pound house in this area because it's green, scenic and beautiful. This would ruin that and gives me real anxiety about my local area.	No benefit
5/16/2025 11:32:14	WS7 0HJ	No	Taking away the countryside nowhere for the wildlife to go, and we have not got enough doctors or dentists for the people who live here already.	None
5/16/2025 11:33:25	Ws7 0jj	No	Loss of Green Land. That this is just the beginning and this will lead to an encroachment on all of the green spaces in the area. Extra houses, people and traffic with no increase in facilities such as doctors and schools. Even if the developpets claim there will be facilities this will not be the case.	None
5/16/2025 11:33:28	WS74SN	No	Road infrastructure, strain on public services doctors, schools etc... loss of green spaces	None
5/16/2025 11:34:30	WS7 9JL	No	Infrastructure around the area will be unable to support this project, roads are not suitable for even more traffic, services like doctors, schools, dentists are at breaking point - what is good about an extra 300 houses, what benefit will it bring to the area? It will bring no benefit at all, wildlife destroyed, history gone, absolutely awful.	Non what so ever.

Timestamp	Your Postcode	Do you support the proposed building development?	What concerns do you have about the development?	What benefits do you see in the development?
5/16/2025 11:35:19	WS7 9QR	No	Would destroy our community - physically, in terms of the beautiful green belt we would lose, and mentally - for the community.	I don't see why a development here would have any benefit - the lanes are very very narrow and Church Rd is very busy, especially with the Church not having car parking, cars park all the way up and its difficult at the best of times to get through on the weekends or when there is a service
5/16/2025 11:36:01	Ws74rt	No	We have had loads of houses built in our area 70 by me alone. Not enough school places, can't get a doctors appointment for weeks, dentist appointment for months. Glad I havnt got school age kids too as definitely not enough space for them and picking up times are horrendous with blocked drives etc. Also think it's disgusting the council didn't allow a business l.e Strawberry Fields to stay but will agree to houses on greenbelt. Also we believe that Bloor homes has had their eye on this land for along time and been keeping the land owner sweet with payments.Burntwood have already met their housing allocation for the current housing term. We don't need anymore and certainly not on greenbelt.	None
5/16/2025 11:37:21	WS7 9HN	No	There is not the adequate infrastructure here, you would risk destroying extremely old buildings, ruin old graveyards, destroy our greenbelt land - I would seek to move.	I dont.
5/16/2025 11:40:01	WS7 9EA	No	It's one of the only rural parts of the town and I have severe asthma so I moved away from a busier city to help with my health.	I see no benefit!
5/16/2025 11:44:17	WS7 1AP	No	I do not believe that we have the schools or doctors to facilitate the already over populated area of Burntwood plus we are loosing more and more green areas to housing.	None whatsoever
5/16/2025 11:46:54	WS7 9JE	No	The area cannot cope now. Let alone more. Cause they will build the houses first and then go oh sorry nothing left to build the other infrastructure items we mentioned.	None
5/16/2025 11:52:53	WS7 2JB	No	Yet more houses with no new infrastructure. Building on green belt land	None
5/16/2025 11:54:41	WS74QT	No	Greenbelt must be protected. There are no exceptional circumstances for removing the land from Greenbelt status. The developers have decided the land is Greybelt, after hundreds of years producing valuable crops and supporting wildlife.. Who do they think they are?	Absolutely none at all.
5/16/2025 11:57:20	WS70JE	No	Not enough resources to support such an influx of people. Roads, GP surgeries, schools etc Are they building more of these?	None
5/16/2025 12:00:00	WS74UB	No	Infrastructure throughout Burntwood unable to adequately support current population. More houses would make it even worse. Also developing green in belt could damage environment by possible flood risk and effect wildlife	None
5/16/2025 12:03:55	WS79LF	No	Traffic, and environmental impact to surrounding areas.	None
5/16/2025 12:07:11	WS7 9DQ	No	The area is of outstanding natural beauty supporting wildlife in abundance. The surrounding areas are already over developed and and haven't the infrastructure to support this large scale development. Local services are already overwhelmed and does not have extra capacity.	None to the existing community.

Timestamp	Your Postcode	Do you support the proposed building development?	What concerns do you have about the development?	What benefits do you see in the development?
5/16/2025 12:11:30	Ws79ld	No	Infrastructure. The local council and highways agency are unable to maintain the roads we have with the amount of traffic already in the area . We have no chance of them looking after any further development	None
5/16/2025 12:14:26	Ws7 0ed	No	More beautiful green countryside being taken away. Lack of schools, doctors etc	
5/16/2025 12:16:59	Ws7 4uj	No	On green belt land. What more do you need to know. Our roads alone arent looked after let alone the thought of 300 more house which means atleast 600 extra cars on the road around burntwood when you think 1 house hold tends to have atleast 2 cars. I struggle to get a doctors appointment now without adding 300 more homes which when you add that in people is atleast 3-4 people per house hold. We simple do not have space to accommodate any of these ripple effects from this. An to say you'll add facilities for these new people is always a joke, that would mean a tone of workers traveling in and out of burntwood because you know your not going to be hiring burntwood folk. Same as morrisons petrol station which has just been taken over. Up until now that was burntwood folk that worked there, you go in there now an i couldnt tell you where in the world they came from but its not burntwood! Absolutely do not go ahead with this!	None whatsoever! On caos, destruction of green belt, more people in an already struggling town!
5/16/2025 12:17:49	Ws79jy	No	No infrastructure and desecration of countryside.	None
5/16/2025 12:21:13	Ws79ba	No	The infrastructure in burntwood town is already over stretched. The roads are chaos, particularly my road (Rugeley road burntwood island) which has the school that is being proposed to extend. There is no way that encouraging another 600 (if 300 homes are built and each house has two vehicles) cars to that part of the area is safe, particularly at school pick up time at Fulfen. The doctors are already impossible to get appointments with. Why are the council not using brown land for the increase in housing instead of building Lidl; another supermarket in close proximity to aldi and Morrisons which we clearly don't need. The traffic moving through town towards the high school is constantly backed up with congestion, again this is only going to worsen, as well as the state of the roads which are covered in potholes that the council can't keep on top of.	Zero. There are plenty of empty houses for sale in the area already. Why aren't we trying to encourage people to buy existing homes instead of building new that no one can afford to buy.
5/16/2025 12:21:40	WS7 4UF	Yes	No	
5/16/2025 12:27:41	WS79DW	No	It's in the wrong place destroying beautiful green belt land that benefits the whole community	None
5/16/2025 12:31:02	WS7 9jq	No	Local area doesn't have facilities doctors, schools, dentists etc to support more housing	None
5/16/2025 12:38:49	WS7 0JW	No	I am concerned about the proposed development of 300 new houses in our area. The existing local infrastructure is already under considerable strain, with narrow roads and frequent traffic congestion. Adding such a significant number of homes would exacerbate these issues, potentially creating safety risks and reducing the overall quality of life for current residents. Without substantial investment in infrastructure improvements, I believe this development would be unsustainable and detrimental to the community.	None
5/16/2025 12:40:44	WS7 9HR	No	Traffic over populated area for what is a small town. Getting rid of green areas	

Timestamp	Your Postcode	Do you support the proposed building development?	What concerns do you have about the development?	What benefits do you see in the development?
5/16/2025 12:46:31	WS7 9QF	No	<p>The proposed development would result in a significant and irreversible change to the character of our rural area. The open countryside and agricultural land at the proposed site contribute greatly to the local landscape's scenic quality. Introducing a dense estate development would be entirely out of keeping with the existing rural setting.</p> <p>Our community is supported by limited infrastructure which is already struggling to cope with the area, including narrow access country lane roads, and insufficient existing local public services such as healthcare and education (particularly secondary school spaces). A development of this scale would place unsustainable pressure on these systems, leading to congestion, service strain, and reduced quality of life for existing residents.</p> <p>There appears to be little demonstrated benefit to the existing local community. The proposal seems designed primarily to meet market demands and the Government's aspirational home building targets.</p>	None
5/16/2025 12:49:00	WS71AN	No	Complete wrong location to build a large building development, with no infrastructure to support the local community. No commuter links, location in the quiet countryside. Doctors, schools etc already struggling.	None at all.
5/16/2025 12:49:25	Ws72ef	No	The roads can't cope. It is our countryside	None
5/16/2025 12:54:00	Ws119fh	No	Yet again oi to beautiful countryside being used to build in a place that is already under pressure with facilities and access to health services	
5/16/2025 13:02:57	WS7 1BS	No	Traffic in small lanes, school places, doctor's, dentist	None
5/16/2025 13:13:21	WS7 9JQ	No	If permission is granted for deployment on green belt land in Coulter Lane, then precedence will be set & objections to further building will carry little weight. Further to this, the local infrastructure is inadequate as it stands, let alone with an additional 300 + residents. I object to this proposal in the strangest of terms.	I see none what's do ever.
5/16/2025 13:15:46	WS7 9EB	No	The road infrastructure is not suitable for more traffic. The speed at which traffic currently travels through the surrounding roads is excessive, the roads are crumbling and not suitable for the amount of cars etc that currently use them see the potholes and couple that with the congestion causing by lack of drives for older properties, space to take families with numerous cars and traffic parked by to use local facilities including schools and to avoid paying has created a situation which is a serious accident waiting to happen. Add in the lack of health care services to service the current level of population in the area, local of investment in schools and local facilities for entertainment and shopping, adding more houses to increase the population in an area which is already overpopulated for the local infrastructure will significantly increase the serious issues already being experienced. We are already seeing increases in crime, public nuisance incidents and public array these will continue to increase without serious investment and a development plan which has already been executed to facilitate such an increase in population.	None
5/16/2025 13:21:00	WS7 0HE	No	The impact on wildlife and the environment, increased traffic and disturbance	Nil
5/16/2025 13:21:04	Ws7 9be	No	Green belt land , pollution , no infrastructure.	None
5/16/2025 13:21:51	WS71JG	No	Overcrowded town not enough schools , no commuter links and ruining wild life	None

Timestamp	Your Postcode	Do you support the proposed building development?	What concerns do you have about the development?	What benefits do you see in the development?
5/16/2025 13:22:16	WS71jG	No	No infrastructure, no commuter links that side of Burntwood, just the wrong place to do it.	Non
5/16/2025 13:28:09	DE13 7AX	No	Impact on the surrounding countryside & roadways & strain on the local infrastructure, which is already at under strain. GP Surgery, School & current highways/lanes.	None
5/16/2025 13:28:32	Ws7 9bb	No	Beautiful countryside ruined !More obvious sites than this to use	None
5/16/2025 13:31:07	WS7 1AN	No	This is built in a part of Burntwood where there is no infrastructure or commuter links. We already struggle to get to work with massive traffic jams every morning as Burntwood is a commuting town and very little actually work within the town (we need to travel out to get jobs). This is also greenbelt land and will open the area up for more development. We need to have more schools, which are already overrun 30 children to 1 teacher (should be 20 students to 1 teacher). We already can't get doctors appointments for the people already living in Burntwood. Crime is also going up in the area and we need a local police station also before adding more people to our beautiful community.	None as far as I can see.
5/16/2025 13:31:47	Ws74xy	Yes	To much affordable housing will downgrade the area	Increase target audience for my business.
5/16/2025 13:33:11	Ws7 9ea	No	No infrustructure ! Beautiful countryside ruined	None
5/16/2025 13:34:20	ws7 1yw	No	no infrastructure, no commuter links that side of Burntwood, just the wrong place to do it. not enough schools as it is and crime rate has increased dramatically already. doctors appointments are impossible so this is not a good idea as it will only make this all worse.	none
5/16/2025 13:37:31	ws7 1yw	No	schools are already over run and struggling. doctors appointments and waiting times are ridiculous already so this would only be made so much worse. no infrastructure, no commuter links that side of Burntwood. traffic would become silly and it is just not the right thing to do.	none
5/16/2025 13:39:14	ws7 9bg	No	can't get a doctors appointment now traffic polution wildlife greenbelt crime schools already full pubs we do not need another 300 houses and shops	none
5/16/2025 13:39:29	WS74YD	No	Loss of green belt and natural environment. Current infrastructure and community facilities unable to cope with additional occupants.	None
5/16/2025 13:49:14	WS79HU	No	Building in an area of outstanding beauty causing more traffic which will require widening of roads and unnecessary infrastructure causing pollution and a drain on resources in the area e.g doctors	None what do ever !

Timestamp	Your Postcode	Do you support the proposed building development?	What concerns do you have about the development?	What benefits do you see in the development?
5/16/2025 13:49:22	Ws7 3xg	No	One, the development is to be built upon the greenbelt which is not good for the environment or the ecosystem apart from the loss of Burntwood's much treasured green space. Two, if allowed it will set a precedent for other developers to apply to build further upon our greenbelt.	Absolutely none. Burntwood has been built upon for many years without the infrastructure to support this. The roads and small lanes cannot cope with the much increased traffic, people are unable to obtain doctor's appointments and schools are over subscribed. People have to shop out of town for anything other than groceries and also to visit leisure facilities such as the cinema or bowling. The buses from Burntwood to Lichfield stop running after 8pm at night which also restricts access for people who don't drive. Lastly but just as important, at the moment Burntwood has managed to retain its community feel but further development will begin to erode this.
5/16/2025 13:51:12	WS7 9Dr	No	Extra Traffic on st Matthews road/Church Road	No.
5/16/2025 14:00:34	Ws7 9qj	No	My concerns are the fact that the area is a green belt area and provides homes for wildlife gives the area natural greenery. My girlfriend and I brought our first house here for the very reason of the surrounding area and its beauty. We've seen deer and many other animals in that green belt land and believe it would be awful to destroy that and make it into another housing estate. I also have concerns about the infrastructure and how the town of Burntwood and the surrounding areas would cope with the increase in population. Is Coulter Lane going to be redone to cope with the increase in traffic as it's a small lane? Is there going to be new schools built for the demand, as well as doctors, and other crucial infrastructure.	None
5/16/2025 14:07:34	WS7 9BJ	No	Traffic safety	
5/16/2025 14:10:03	WS7 9BG	No	the Lichfield Council has yet again not thought this proposal through. The impact on our community such as the environment, traffic noise, strain to our road infrastructure. Also the appearance to our town, concerns about privacy, the strain on local amenities like doctor surgeries, schools, hospitals and the potential of over development and the harm to our wildlife and the loss of light and impact of pollution to the neighbouring properties. Have the Lichfield Council looked at the stability of the proposed land like subsidence and the impact on historic and archaeological sites.	Absolutely no benefits to our community only more over crowding to our town and mayhem waits ahead if this project isn't rejected
5/16/2025 14:11:22	Ws79qs	No	Overdevelopment. Loss of green belt. Poor surrounding infrastructure	More housing

Timestamp	Your Postcode	Do you support the proposed building development?	What concerns do you have about the development?	What benefits do you see in the development?
5/16/2025 14:12:26	WS7 9de	No	<p>Increased traffic in the area, especially Farewell Lane and Cannock Road which is one constant queue most mornings and evenings.</p> <p>Already stretched health facilities, with lack of Drs appointments, dentists etc.</p> <p>Lack of school places, there are children resident in Burntwood that are. already having to travel out of Burntwood for schooling.</p> <p>Greenbelt being built on, where will this end.</p> <p>There are plenty of brownfield sites in Burntwood and surrounding areas that could be used for both housing & renewed facilities before the green belt is taken away.</p> <p>Lack of funding already in the area from the council that is meant to serve us. Lichfield is well looked after but Burntwood is largely forgotten other than when we are needed to help fulfill housing quotas.</p> <p>This site had a thriving local cafe that was forced to close due to planning constraints but now miraculously it is a great potential area for hundreds of new homes.</p> <p>Road network at breaking point with no plans to improve/add new routes in and out of this new estate.</p>	There are none!
5/16/2025 14:14:59	WS7 1NH	No	It is green belt land and the infrastructure will not cope with this development it is a disgrace	None
5/16/2025 14:19:27	WS7 9QJ	No	<p>It would damage the wildlife, deers, pheasants, natural green and trees etc. The area DOES NOT the infrastructure - schools, doctors, dentists, nursery's to facilitate this. The roads in which they want to build are country lanes? How is this going to work?</p> <p>We have lived in our home for two years and bought our property because of the natural peace and beauty it has. The area is already busy enough, it is going to damage everything the community stands for & what we work hard at to look after.</p> <p>The land next to our property has an abundance of wildlife. The land is looked after and constantly maintained. The development is destroying this desired area & the natural beauty / green belt this country has.</p>	ABSOLUTELY NOTHING.
5/16/2025 14:19:43	Ws79qh	No	Wrong to build on green belt, also no infrastructure to support and already busy roads and 6 weeks to get doctors appointments.	Only to line the pockets of the building contractors. Gives nothing to the community.
5/16/2025 14:19:52	WS7 9LW	No	The location off a quiet country lane is ridiculous. The current infrastructure is already at breaking point in Burntwood. Currently 6 weeks for me to get a doctor's appointment. More people, more crime and no police. How is that going to work? Already a spate of car thefts EVERY DAY with no police presence. Surely there are plenty of brownfield sites available. Who in their right mind would give planning permission on greenbelt land?	Absolutely none
5/16/2025 14:25:47	WS7 9EY	No	The loss of greenbelt, wildlife and farmland forever. Insufficient infrastruuctute to cope with a development that isnt required.	Non at all.
5/16/2025 14:26:30	WS79EY	No	<p>Building on greenbelt land</p> <p>I bought the property because of its location being semi rural with countryside on the doorstep</p> <p>There is no infrastructure to support yet another housing estate</p>	None

Timestamp	Your Postcode	Do you support the proposed building development?	What concerns do you have about the development?	What benefits do you see in the development?
5/16/2025 14:34:43	WS7 9EY	No	Increased traffic around Coulter lane , single lane with 60mph speed limit already causes an issue . Traffic around Church Road Rugeley Road junction at school times road junction is chaos with current levels of traffic due to cars being parked on road pathway . Unable to get Doctors appt at Greenwood health clinic now , with more patients the situation will be worse . There is only one way into Lichfield that serves Burntwood , Chase Terrace and Chasetown that route is very busy now with tailbacks at Farewell road lights with more traffic the delays will be worse The environmental impact as there are Bats , Owls and muntjac deer around Coulter land that will all be lost with a huge new development of houses	None at all only negative issues
5/16/2025 14:50:54	WS7 9QJ	No	The road network in the area is wholly inadequate for the existing population, let alone the addition of hundreds of new homes. Coulter lane and the junction with St Matthews road would be dangerous with the extra volume of traffic given the fact that it is a well used route for families to walk their children to the local schools. The use of greenbelt land that destroys habitats that cannot be replaced. Insufficient services in the area already (Schools, doctors, shopping centre) even before the influx of new residents.	None

Timestamp	Your Postcode	Do you support the proposed building development?	What concerns do you have about the development?	What benefits do you see in the development?
5/16/2025 14:51:51	Ws7 4yd	No	<p>My concern for this development are ten fold. The town's infrastructure as it stands is already faltering and failing to meet the needs of the town and I feel a sudden influx of houses and families at the volume proposed by this development will severely hinder the town, its residents and the new residents joining the town. Before any sort of development can begin of that scale, a serious look at the infrastructure of the town needs to be considered and developed first before more housing developments can be successfully implemented.</p> <p>As it stands, Burntwood schools are already over-subscribed. if the proposed number of houses all get filled with even half of them having children, the strain on schools will be evident. I have taught at Chase Terrace and also spoke to teachers who taught at EDA and I can say this first-hand. The recommended teacher-to-student ratio is to be 20 students per 1 adult, Currently, you are seeing an average of 28 students per class per teacher. Not only does this directly affect the level of education as the teacher has to split their time between all the students in under an hour. But also creates an unsafe learning environment for the students as they become increasingly unsupervised.</p> <p>My Mother works for the Spires medical practice and is based at times in the Burntwood. Even without knowing someone who works there most residents would agree they can see the strain on the GP's primarily due to capacity. Waiting lists for the doctors can be months long for routine appointments and will only become worse with developments of this size being bolted onto the town. Not only is this detrimental for the direct health of the residents of the town but also the public health as diseases are left longer to spread.</p> <p>In regards to the roads of the town, these are currently unsafe at times and unfit for towns needs. Potholes in high-traffic areas are a regular occurrence with an example being the island near McDonald's. These have eroded to unsafe levels at times, causing motorists to choose between taking dangerous decisions to avoid the hole or risk damaging their vehicle. Further traffic will only exacerbate this issue further.</p> <p>Traffic its self is also becoming increasingly troublesome in the town, especially during peak times. Swan Island up to the Spar can become particularly choked as well as the road taking you to 5 Ways Island being gridlocked also. The capacity of the roads is most noticeable when any road works need to be carried out in any particular area. This can severely hinder motorists and typically, there are no other routes around said roadworks or if they are they filter main road traffic through small housing estates that were built to support such volume.</p> <p>Burntwood currently has only one retained fire fighter service that now shares its office with Police officers occasionally. They have one engine, surely for the safety of the town and all residents, we shouldn't expect the team that currently works there to stretch themselves further to cover an additional 300 homes. Particularly when they also have to cover Lichfield.</p> <p>With all the noted concerns above along with those of other residents can we expect a town currently struggling to try and at times fail to meet the growing needs of the residents to support 300 hundred plus new homes. If we take the uk average person per household we can see each home will have a minimum of 2.36 (which obviously will be exceeded in many of those homes with children and pets). With the uk average cars per household being 1.2, which realistically means some houses having 2 cars. Can we expect this struggling town now to support 708 (if we only times 2.36 person average and not realistically factor in many homes having more bringing the number closer to 1000). Can our roads support a further 360 cars(again only factoring in the uk average, which again realistically many a house owning 2 cars). Can our shops adequately offer spaces for these cars to park and use their services? Do our pubs, which have already been depleted in recent years have room for these residents to attend?. Do our schools have the space to without effecting existing students education support many more students? It must also be added that even without this development, Burntwood continues to grow with</p>	None at the towns current infrastructure.

Timestamp	Your Postcode	Do you support the proposed building development?	What concerns do you have about the development?	What benefits do you see in the development?
5/16/2025 15:11:13	Ws7 9EY	No	A detraction of the green space and tranquil setting. The unrest that a construction project of that size will surely cause.	None
5/16/2025 15:13:45	Ws7 9dp	No	What a joke. Green land. Money money money	None
5/16/2025 15:19:08	WS7 9AN	No	Lack if infrastructure: health: road network: secondary schools. Destruction of wildlife habitat	None
5/16/2025 15:39:38	Ws7 2hd	No	Traffic, school places, doctors places, destruction of green belt land, houses too expensive for first time buyers	
5/16/2025 15:48:13	WS7 9JX	No	Destroying beautiful area of Burntwood. Roads can't cope now between Burntwood and Lichfield. No new doctors mentioned.	None
5/16/2025 15:54:34	WS7 9BU	No	Lack of infrastructure and Burntwood is overcrowded as it is	None
5/16/2025 15:57:19	WS7 9BU	No	New developments not welcome in this area	None
5/16/2025 15:57:35	Ws7 9ey	No	Green belt is already reducing rapidly, the roads are already bad enough, the infrastructure regarding schools, medical, shops etc.... Isn't there or sustainable Burntwood is losing its identity the more the urban sprawl continues	Zero
5/16/2025 16:00:50	Ws7 4rh	No	<p>My Concerns About the Proposed Development on Coulter Lane, Burntwood:</p> <p>I have serious concerns about the potential development on Green Belt land at Coulter Lane. This area is currently protected for good reason—to prevent urban sprawl, preserve our countryside, and maintain a clear separation between built-up areas. Developing on this land risks setting a dangerous precedent for further encroachment into protected green spaces.</p> <p>Such a development would result in the permanent loss of open countryside that is valuable not just for its scenic and recreational value, but also for its role in supporting local wildlife and biodiversity. Once it's gone, we can't get it back.</p> <p>There's also the issue of infrastructure. Burntwood is already under pressure when it comes to roads, schools, and health services. Adding more housing without proper planning and investment in these essential services would only make things worse for existing residents.</p> <p>Most importantly, many in the local community—myself included—feel strongly that this land should remain undeveloped. Green Belt policy exists to safeguard spaces like Coulter Lane, and unless there are truly exceptional circumstances, that policy should be respected.</p> <p>I urge decision-makers to consider the long-term consequences of this proposal and to protect this vital part of our local environment.</p>	

Timestamp	Your Postcode	Do you support the proposed building development?	What concerns do you have about the development?	What benefits do you see in the development?
5/16/2025 16:26:12	WS7 9be	No	Safety, speed, congestion getting out of Burntwood is already bad, road infrastructure, community infrastucture (health schools shops facilities) green belt, wildlife,	None
5/16/2025 16:32:34	WS7 1NH	No	No infer structure to support this many houses . No school places , doctors at breaking point etc	None at all
5/16/2025 16:34:19	Ws7 2dw	No	Loss of green belt and farm land. Increase in traffic and pollution including noise. Lack of infrastructure already at breaking point in burntwood and surrounding areas.	None
5/16/2025 16:36:38	WS7 9DP	No	Not wanted or needed.	None
5/16/2025 16:45:57	WS7 9BJ	No	It's taking away our nearby countryside that I walk my dogs through every day. There's so much nature in that area that would be wiped out. We purposely bought our house on the very edge of Burntwood where we are not overlooked and are close to the countryside and this will no longer be the case if a housing estate is built directly in front. This would push me to move to another area. The Rugeley rd is already a busy rd with too much traffic and this will increase. This is particularly dangerous for the primary school children going to Fulfen school.	None
5/16/2025 16:48:34	WS7 9DW	No	Extra traffic in area Lack of road infrastructure Lack of local amenities already which will become even worse.eg doctors, dentist etc Local schools are already full and have waiting lists Farewell Lane and Church Road are already busy and would become even worse. Destroying green belt when there are plenty of other brown sites that could be used.	None
5/16/2025 16:51:04	WS7 9LQ	No	It's on greenbelt!	None
5/16/2025 16:53:46	WS7 9LQ	No	There is absolutely no need to build on farming / greenbelt land, appalling lack of consideration of local views.	None at all - other than lining the pockets of the landowners, LDC and Bloor Homes Plc
5/16/2025 17:00:12	WS7 9BJ	No	The area is countryside and is a beautiful place to walk and enjoy. There are so few green spaces left. The West Midlands Conurbation is only a few miles away and keeping local green spaces for families, wildlife and clean air is essential.	None.
5/16/2025 17:02:12	WS7 9LQ	No	Removing and destroying the green belt, road infrastructure isn't appropriate! They didn't let strawberry farm fields trade because of noise, traffic down the lanes, and parking - how on earth are they going to build houses near by!	None
5/16/2025 17:11:27	Ws7 1nl	No	Further stress on road networks, amenities such as shopping facilities, health care and schools! Enough problems as there is!	Non
5/16/2025 17:20:52	WS79QG	No	Loss of green belt, increase in traffic and pollution, lack of local amenities to cope with a large amount of extra people.	
5/16/2025 17:29:21	Ws7 9JS	No	Over crowding on roads, spoiling of nature plants wildlife, views. Not enough schools, care homes , Drs to support the needs	Non
5/16/2025 17:43:17	Ws7 9ee	No	Reduction of green belt, no infrastructure, narrow road down Coulter lane as it is. Given that strawberry fields were told their venture was not in keeping with the natural environment we then have they utter disgrace of a development on our hands. No one wants this except the greedy people that are selling the land and the developer!	None whatsoever!

Timestamp	Your Postcode	Do you support the proposed building development?	What concerns do you have about the development?	What benefits do you see in the development?
5/16/2025 17:46:58	WS7 9QG	No	Unnecessary considering the borough have reached there quoter of new houses for the foreseeable future. Impact on green belt when plenty of other unused and under developed brown field sites and disused industrial/commercial sites exist. Wild life, there are bats owls and various other endangered creatures in the area. Congested roads, even if the roads are improved to gain access to the site, the surrounding country lanes ie Abnalls Lane etc will be used as rat runs, including access to Rugeley via the single track lanes through Gentleshaw. It already takes considerable time and negotiation to get on to swan island during busy times with parked cars all along Rugeley Road, with an extra thousand cars or so this would be impossible.	None whatsoever.
5/16/2025 17:47:27	WS72DF	No	Road infrastructure is totally unsuitable. No school or doctor in the area with adequate provision	none
5/16/2025 17:48:00	WS7 4QZ	No	Social housing being increased , lack of resources such as schools and doctors	None
5/16/2025 17:49:54	WS7 2HX	No	Additional houses/families with already extremely stretched services and lack of much needed facilities in the community. A waste of countryside!	None.
5/16/2025 17:56:27	Ws79au	No	No support Services for the area to cope with population increase. Houses alone does not provide a community.	
5/16/2025 18:21:10	WS7 9EB	No	Loss of designated Green Belt, traffic hazards to children and parents travelling/walking to School, retail in the area would be unsustainable as there are lots of facilities nearby. There is a community facility in nearby Rugeley Road. The area is within the Forest of Mercia, adverse impact on birds and wildlife.	None. Only the developers would benefit.
5/16/2025 18:33:01	WS7 9EY	No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - construction noise and blight - loss of the green belt - the impact on wildlife - significant increases in traffic - insufficient local infrastructure 	None.
5/16/2025 18:33:06	WS7 9EY	No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - construction noise and blight - loss of the green belt - the impact on wildlife - significant increases in traffic - insufficient local infrastructure 	None.
5/16/2025 18:33:47	WS7 9EY	No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - construction noise and blight - loss of the green belt - the impact on wildlife - significant increases in traffic - insufficient local infrastructure 	None.
5/16/2025 18:46:20	WS7 9AQ	No	Cannot cope with cars going up and down the lane. Not enough doctors, or school places. No infrastructure. Burntwood is all ready a third of the district . We have nothing but houses . Roads cannot cope with the amount of vehicles. NO MORE HOUSES. NOT WANTED BY THE PEOPLE WHO ALREADY LIVE HERE	Nil
5/16/2025 19:02:57	Ws11 9pl	No	Wildlife and nature	

Timestamp	Your Postcode	Do you support the proposed building development?	What concerns do you have about the development?	What benefits do you see in the development?
5/16/2025 19:24:57	WS7 0DU	No	Not enough infrastructure as it is. Schools, doctors. Getting in and out of Burntwood by car is a nightmare as it is without adding another huge estate.	None
5/16/2025 19:25:01	ws7 9eb	No	everything about the environment, traffic , lack of amenities ,	none
5/16/2025 19:25:38	Ws79qq a	No	Too small of an area to build	None
5/16/2025 19:27:34	Ws7 0bw	No	No Doctors and schools can cope with extra residents and overpopulation	
5/16/2025 19:28:29	Ws7 2bj	No	Building on a green area , there are too many houses and not enough infrastructure to cope.	None
5/16/2025 19:35:25	WS7 9JG	No	<p>The prospect of 300 houses means a huge surge in traffic on already busy, poorly maintained roads. Most houses have at least two cars and also let's not forget those who also own work vans. There will be more pollution and wear on our roads.</p> <p>There is only one road through Burntwood!</p> <p>What would the proposed retail units bring to our community? It's not that long ago that a successful business closed (Strawberry Fields).....is it a coincidence that people lost their jobs so houses can be built there?</p> <p>Where would these households children go to school? If you extend current schools there will be the extra traffic at already busy times. Already problems with parking around our schools. Where will older pupils go to school and what will all these additional bored teenagers do? Are developers prepared to provide a community building and support it after they get what they want.</p> <p>Are developers prepared to build additional health centres for medical and dental requirements and then find the staff for them? We don't even have a maternity unit now at Samuel Johnson for all those potential new babies.</p> <p>Our Greenbelt and farm land should be protected not abused. How can a nation ever feed itself if this land is built on? We have very little here compared to Lichfield so our green spaces are precious to us.</p>	i find it hard to see any benefits.
5/16/2025 19:40:00	WS70DU	No	Current highway infrastructure in/out of the Burntwood area cannot cope with the level of traffic we have at present, with long queues from pipe hill to swan island, Skoda island to five ways, toll road bypass to A5 & through Norton Canes at busy periods. Another potential 600+ vehicles and service vehicles will destroy the roads further.	Absolutely none
5/16/2025 20:03:23	Ws70ht	No	Not enough schools .doctors police ..not happy about building on green belt.	None
5/16/2025 20:24:13	WS7 2DF	No	<p>Not enough infrastructure in the area; school places and nursery places over subscribed, doctors and dentist in short supply.</p> <p>Natural habitats for plants and animals destroyed.</p>	
5/16/2025 20:33:59	WS7 9DP	No	Further erosion of Greenbelt. Environmental damage. Increased traffic and pollution. There is absolutely no need for this land to be developed.	None whatsoever
5/16/2025 20:35:44	Ws7 9ew	No	The surrounding roads/access is already at breaking point. There is traffic queuing down the lichfield road without the extra traffic that this would bring. 300 houses could be 600 extra cars on some very narrow roads. We can't support this! Woodhouses road is a lane that can't be widened and could end up being a serious road traffic accident. The GP practice is already stretched and a routine appointment takes 2/3 months. This cant be accommodated.	None at all!

Timestamp	Your Postcode	Do you support the proposed building development?	What concerns do you have about the development?	What benefits do you see in the development?
5/16/2025 20:49:48	WS73ru	Yes	None good growth for burntwood	Great homes in a lovely location
5/16/2025 20:56:41	WS7 0DS	No	Loss of green belt. Traffic. Schools. Doctors. Large proportion of affordable housing'	None
5/16/2025 20:56:53	WS7 9JH	No	Insufficient existing infrastructure in Burntwood, doctors, schools supermarkets already under pressure due to volume of residents already in the area.	None
5/16/2025 21:00:01	WS7 9LF	No	Destruction of the countryside, destruction of wildlife habitat, loss of greenbelt, over development of the area with already over subscribed doctors surgeries & schools. Inability of local government to actually maintain the existing roads with the current number of automobiles.	None
5/16/2025 21:01:30	Ws79ew	Yes	More activities in the area required	My daughter can have home
5/16/2025 21:01:48	Ws71fp	No	Spoil of greenbelt land, views and country side we all know and love in the area. Over crowding and excess traffic. Road networks around the area are not suitable.	
5/16/2025 21:17:18	WS7 9EA	No	1. Traffic and Infrastructure, 2. Pedestrian & Cyclist Safety, 3. Public Services, 4. Environmental Impact, 5. Planning Priorities	There are no benefits to increasing the population of a town that is already overstretched
5/16/2025 22:40:57	WS7 9EB	No	An already overloaded rural road infrastructure will be made much worse, Schools in the area will not have the capacity to cope, The lose of fields, hedgerows and trees and all of the flora and fauna in an already dwindling landscape.	none
5/16/2025 22:56:33	WS7 9QG	No	Impact on local community and environment . Lack of infrastructure, and local amenities. Increased danger to pedestrians, increased traffic and pollution. The area is rural and there are many other potential areas which are more easily accessed and less invasive.	None
5/16/2025 23:42:49	Ws79dw	No	Infrastructure in Burntwood isn't capable of supporting any more houses and highway doesn't have capacity especially these local rural roads/lanes	None it's just about profit for the builder!
5/17/2025 6:44:09	Ws7 0er	No	Turning green land into building whilst not improving infrastructure in the area	None
5/17/2025 7:08:58	WS7 9JY	No	The roads around the development are totally inadequate. Also the main roads in this area are already gridlocked at rush hours and the roads we do have are full of pot holes. We still can't get to be seen by a doctor. In general this indicates the lack of services in this area and until the local authorities can provide those services there should be no more development in this area.	I don't see any.
5/17/2025 7:13:20	WS7 9JY	No	My concerns are these. A build of 300 houses could potentially see a further 600 cars on the roads in the area as most households have 2 cars now. This will further gridlock the already congested roads, make it more dangerous for cyclists and wildlife as there are a lot of narrow lanes and create even more potholes	None whatsoever
5/17/2025 7:52:48	Ws7 0da	No	Impact on local NHS and school services	None
5/17/2025 7:59:55	Ws7 9qd	No	Destroying the natural environment. Impact on roads/traffic. The strain on doctors appointments. Parking around Fulfrun School.	Absolutely none.

Timestamp	Your Postcode	Do you support the proposed building development?	What concerns do you have about the development?	What benefits do you see in the development?
5/17/2025 8:00:28	Ws79dp	No	1.An ignorant destruction of a much needed Biodiverse and Historic area. 2.Weak and incompetent Council members and Planners that don't care about the long-term impact these sort of plans have on our communities and countryside. 3.Deliberate abuse and manipulation by developers of National Planning Policies and Laws in order to make self gains. 4.It will contribute to the erosion of Societal Standards,Health and Wellbeing in this area. 5...and if they think they can get away with it as easy as that..then we'll see!	None
5/17/2025 8:16:26	Ws79jw	No	Already stretched health service, lack of amenities for teenagers currently, increasing the population will only create more disorderly incidents. Burntwood roads are full of pot holes which already cause accidents, increasing volume of cars will speed up deterioration. Displacement of wildlife and the impact on the environment, increase in pollution	None
5/17/2025 8:23:54	Ws7 0dt	No	Lack of local amenities for an ever increasing population. A lack of green land and countryside.	
5/17/2025 8:51:57	Ws7 3xy	No	Ruining greenbelt land, there are better areas to choose from	None
5/17/2025 9:17:50	Ws73xg	No	Too much building work going on. Burntwood is at risk of looking like another "copy paste" town. Local people can't afford to live here and it feels like everythig is being built solely for Londoners who will move with HS2.	None
5/17/2025 9:24:13	WS7 0HW	No	The natural beauty of this part of Burntwood will be ruined.	None
5/17/2025 10:12:42	WS7 9DP	No		
5/17/2025 10:17:34	Ws7 9dp	No	I oppose any development on the Green Belt.	I don't see any benefits.
5/17/2025 10:18:20	WS7 2PQ	No	Loss of green belt, the roads surrounding would not be sufficient to facilitate the increase traffic. We live in an area of outstanding natural beauty and need to retain as much of this as possible. Our doctors are already over subscribed and the same for dentist and schools.	
5/17/2025 10:26:14	Ws70he	No	Green belt, nature, we have no infrastructure for more houses in the area!!	None
5/17/2025 11:05:17	WS7 9BE	No	Road problems with inevitable increase in traffic. General social infrastructure insufficient for the towns current population. The loss of valuable green belt for everyone.	Absolutely none.
5/17/2025 12:01:18	Ws7 4ud	No	More traffic and no infrastructure such as dentist's doctors to cope with more development no investment in Burntwood. Loss of green land which is why it's a nice place to live.	None
5/17/2025 14:10:06	WS7 0jr	No	The schools in the area are already over subscribed, so are the Doctors and Dentists.	None

Timestamp	Your Postcode	Do you support the proposed building development?	What concerns do you have about the development?	What benefits do you see in the development?
5/17/2025 14:24:21	Ws7 4rl	No	<p>I'm deeply concerned about the proposed development on Green Belt land at Coulter Lane. This area represents valuable green space that not only supports local wildlife but also provides a vital buffer between urban sprawl and the countryside. Losing it would be a permanent and irreversible change to the character of our community.</p> <p>There is already significant pressure on local infrastructure—especially roads, schools, and healthcare services. We are still waiting for promised improvements like a new doctor's surgery and entertainment facilities, which were highlighted in past developments but have yet to materialize. This raises serious questions about whether further development can be supported without worsening the strain on public services.</p> <p>While developers may promise benefits, the reality is that our town has not seen the follow-through on previous commitments. Without guaranteed infrastructure in place first, further development risks doing more harm than good.</p> <p>I urge decision-makers to prioritize the long-term well-being of Burntwood residents and to protect our Green Belt land from unnecessary and unsustainable development.</p>	
5/17/2025 14:43:21	Ws7 9eb	No	More traffic, increased population and the challenges that brings with additional school places, doctors and dentists capacity and crime and anti social behaviour. It's also a beautiful part of Burntwood and the impact of a building project will significantly damage the natural environment and the surroundings. It will also place pressure on the road network as the site is not easily accessible.	There are no benefits - this is a terrible idea and not the right place for such a development.
5/17/2025 14:45:36	WS7 1HP	No	Too much traffic on the roads. We like to walk through the countryside, but it's being built on!	None
5/17/2025 16:26:02	Ws79jg	No	Loosing green belt to much traffic congestion not enough infrastructure to support the development . brown site land should be built on first	None
5/17/2025 17:49:11	Ws72bg	No	Already too many house ,can't get in to see doctor class room.in schools already full. Losing more beautiful green area	None
5/17/2025 18:15:37	WS7 2 BU	No	Increased traffic, no infrastructure in place to support this such as doctors.	None
5/17/2025 18:45:14	Ws79lq	No	It would be detrimental to our area, to introduce a large scale building, into what is an area of biodiversity and natural habitats.	None. It will lower housing prices and ruin natural environments.
5/17/2025 22:51:01	WS7 9EA	No	Building on greenfield sites	None - I support home building but should be built on brownfield sites
5/17/2025 23:39:23	WS7 4SL	No	Lack of green land and urban creep. Happening here and Lichfield	None really. No infrastructure to handle more estates. We will finish up like Tamworth

Timestamp	Your Postcode	Do you support the proposed building development?	What concerns do you have about the development?	What benefits do you see in the development?
5/18/2025 1:02:35	WS79EE	No	There isn't the infrastructure to support more housing. Farewell Lane and Rugeley Road leading down to the main roads cannot cope with more traffic. We don't have the Doctors and school places to support a new development.	None
5/18/2025 1:41:12	B75 6NP	No	Loss of green space, traffic, lack of amenities.	None
5/18/2025 7:56:58	Ws79jn	No	Ruining greenbelt, will place too much pressure on already oversubscribed schools, Doctors and roads.	None
5/18/2025 8:22:17	WS7 9QD	No	Lack of supporting infrastructure to support the increase in population. Damage to rural land and wildlife locally. Loss of greenbelt land that makes our area so beautiful.	None.
5/18/2025 8:32:13	WS7 9QG	Unsure	Loss of green belt and stress on infrastructure	Unsure
5/18/2025 8:47:32	WS79JG	No	Traffic around Burntwood - infrastructure, schooling - already had hunsllet, st Matthews estates built with no extra schools, health centre already overwhelmed, green belt loss -brown field sites should be sourced first	None
5/18/2025 9:18:00	WS7 0HQ	No	It will ruin the countryside, the views, the peacefulness, destroy wildlife and their homes.	Big profit for building companies. Nothing for nearby residents!
5/18/2025 14:30:05	WS7 9EA	No	Destruction of greenbelt land Destruction of wildlife habitats Lack of local infrastructure to support such a development	None
5/18/2025 15:14:25	WS7 9JXW	Yes		
5/18/2025 15:45:51	WS7 9QJ	No	Coulter Lane and other local roads are not capable of taking the significant increase in traffic that a new housing development would create. A new housing development would also create a worrying strain on our already struggling doctors surgeries. Most concerning is the proposed destruction of local green belt land which is home to an array of wildlife.	None
5/18/2025 16:12:23	WS7 9EE	No	Building on Green Belt, 300 houses, retail establishment, entry opposite church, increase in traffic, loss of wildlife habitat,	Expansion to School much needed from other increase in house developments
5/18/2025 18:58:11	WS7 4XZ	No	Not enough amenities	None
5/18/2025 20:41:10	Ws7 0jw	No		
5/18/2025 21:28:35	WS7 9QG	No	1) Lack of infrastructure and facilities. 2) Traffic congestion. 3) Over development on greenbelt.	None
5/19/2025 9:09:55	WS7 9QG	No	Over populating the area. The local services and roads are not adequate for a further 1000+ people to be driving around and taking up more of our limited services.	None.
5/19/2025 10:42:19	WS7 9LD	No	Existing infrastructure and roads will not cope with any more housing in the area	None unless houses being built are affordable for Burntwood residents

Timestamp	Your Postcode	Do you support the proposed building development?	What concerns do you have about the development?	What benefits do you see in the development?
5/19/2025 16:14:04	WS7 9JG	No	Totally Ruining our Beautiful Green Belt! Destroying wildlife and their natural habitats! Pollution! It's heartbreaking to even think about it!	Absolutely None!!!
5/19/2025 17:51:37	Ws79jg	No	The loss of green belt.traffic congestion and can't understand why brown field sites around the area are not used first.	
5/19/2025 18:24:51	WS7 0HA	No	Increased traffic, doctors overwhelmed, Schools oversubscribed, too many people in one area for available infrastructure.	None whatsoever.
5/19/2025 18:27:41	WS7 0HA	No	Can't get Doctors's appointment as it is so what's it gonna be like with another potentially few thousand more people in the area? Schools are oversubscribed especially the primary schools, it's a quiet area of Burntwood and it will no more especially if further development in other local areas are approved.	None whatsoever
5/19/2025 18:29:40	Ws7 2df	No	It's taking away all of the green open space in the area. There are not enough school places, GP surgeries, dentists, opticians to cope with continually increasing population	None
5/19/2025 18:30:37	WS7 0HA	No	More people will attract more crime into the area plus the schools and the doctors and all the local infrastructure can't cope already. There is also nothing for the kids to do in the local area as it is more kids hanging around the street corners bored and causing trouble it's not their fault. There's just nothing for them to do as it is I was one of those kids	Nothing
5/19/2025 18:55:48	WS7 9QJ	No	Loss of green belt / impact on environment. Access to the site. Local congestion.	None!
5/19/2025 19:11:33	WS7 9LL	No		None
5/19/2025 21:23:44	Ws7 9js	No	The road structure isn't fit for purpose to take more traffic No extra facilities such as schools Shops play areas doctors Taking away more of our lovely green lane	None
5/20/2025 5:26:06	ws79jq	No	Traffic, Doctors, Schools and beauty of the area	none
5/20/2025 5:58:47	Ws79bw	No	Loss of green belt land, over crowding of the locality, lack of infrastructure- main roads currently lack maintenance so would not cope with heavier traffic. Loss of rural town identity	None
5/20/2025 7:32:31	WS7 1LU	No	Ruining our beautiful countryside, we should not lose our Greenbelt land. Plus too much traffic, pollution, etc.	
5/20/2025 8:45:05	Ws7 9ap	No	Road infrastructure is already stretched . Doctors and school places are limited I lived on Rugeley road for many years and the road was already too busy adding more houses to the area will not help	None , the area needs homes i agree but surely there must be other sites with better road infrastructure than lanes

Timestamp	Your Postcode	Do you support the proposed building development?	What concerns do you have about the development?	What benefits do you see in the development?
5/20/2025 12:24:11	WS7 9BW	No	<p>I totally object to this potential proposal.</p> <p>I chose to live in this area of Burntwood because of it's beautiful views, relatively low population, and friendly community.</p> <p>The current housing generally are well maintained in this area due to it's managed population and type of properties.</p> <p>I happily paid a premium to enjoy the beauties this area has to offer, as have many others.</p> <p>The protection of the abundance of wildlife in this area should also be parliament.</p> <p>The area is busting at the seams now, with poor roads, and infrastructure since the introduction of the St. Matthews estate in recent years.</p> <p>Green belt and similar classed areas must be maintained and cherished otherwise we may as well live in large towns or city centres, which are just buildings and roads.</p> <p>Already parking and speeding on the roads near the proposed areas and more importantly near Fulfen School are already dangerous, with parking issues when dropping off and picking up times (parents already parking on double yellow lines during this time which is very dangerous and not monitored at all) and speeding is common place with no controls on the current volume of traffic at all times of the day. There are so many dangerous points to consider currently, never mind a massive increase in population and traffic, too many to list here but are obvious to the burntwood community.</p>	None at all.
5/20/2025 12:49:55	WS7 9HU	No	<p>Stretch on infrastructure and the building on beautiful Greenland when alternatives are available.</p> <p>Proposed levels of affordable housing</p>	None
5/20/2025 14:19:36	WS70HW	No	Influx of people into a tiny town, increased crime rate and lack of jobs for those already living there	None!
5/20/2025 15:01:54	WS7 9DW	No	Infrastructure needed	None
5/20/2025 15:31:48	WS7 0HA	No	<p>Once again more of our farmland and greenbelt being built on with no regard for existing wildlife including mature hedges and trees. Nature is being forgotten with total disregard, seemingly ignoring the fact that our very existence relies on the countryside and all the pollinators in it not to mention trees and hedges for our air quality. We should be growing crops for food security in these uncertain times instead we are building even more houses on our farmland. Burntwood has already had many new housing estates built and the traffic noise and pollution is becoming increasingly depressing. Now it seems you are even considering building another on beautiful green fields. When is enough enough? We are losing the identity of Burntwood and we are turning into another Walsall or Birmingham. I remember Burntwoods old village from when I was a child and even as it grew it was still a lovely calm quiet place, with much greenery and bordered by important farmland which my grandparents and their families including my Dad, farmed. We are going to hell in a handcart, are losing our beautiful countryside to the highest bidder and are becoming just like every other large town with no care for our natural world</p>	None for us nature lovers who remember Burntwood of old and mourn it's demise to another Walsall lookalike - except of course more council tax for LDC!!!!
5/20/2025 16:14:00	WS7 9DX	No	Current infrastructure cant support this size of the development & loss of Green Belt	None - housing target for Burntwood currently been met

Timestamp	Your Postcode	Do you support the proposed building development?	What concerns do you have about the development?	What benefits do you see in the development?
5/20/2025 17:31:21	WS7 9DQ	No	Spoiling green belt land and loss of countryside . This area is enjoyed by many for country walks, exercise and general wellbeing - why take this away and increase traffic and pollution? The local primary schools are already full so why build more houses? Approval of this will just open up the flood gates for further loss of green belt land and loss of open space. Use non green belt land first and protect this space for residents to enjoy. The only people you benefit from this are the developers	None
5/20/2025 19:18:35	WS7 9HU	No	No supporting infrastructure, doctors are already full as our nurseries and schools. The roads would also need to be updated to deal with the traffic.	None.
5/20/2025 19:41:38	Ws7 2pq	No	It will have such a negative impact on schools and doctors given that they are already full, there just isn't enough space for this demand in greenbelt land aswell	
5/20/2025 19:47:15	WS7 2PQ	No	Environmental with the land being designated green belt. Also the main reason we moved to the property we are in was the large amount of green surrounding land. A 300 home development would destroy the area. The infrastructure in the surrounding area is not designed for such a large influx of inhabitants.	None. We don't need any of the proposed 'benefits' and they simply mask the destruction to the local environment.
5/20/2025 19:48:37	WS7 1AN	No	Destroying the local beauty, we frequently walk our dogs around the lanes in this area and it will decimate the peaceful scenery. Not only this but our highways cannot cope with such an influx of people moving into the area.	None.
5/20/2025 19:54:22	WS7 9DW	No	Traffic , Road Structure , Schools , Doctors etc	None
5/20/2025 20:18:05	Ws71LQ	No	All the construction traffic in and around the area also when completed too many people for the infurstuction	
5/20/2025 20:18:55	Ws79jp	No	The effect of the additional road traffic. The site looking a cluttered eyesore. Potential for higher crime rates	
5/20/2025 20:20:23	Ws72au	No	Green belt and fields gone forever	None
5/20/2025 20:26:12	WA7 9QA	No	The lanes and history of the area. It's a beautiful part of Burntwood. Also worried about the increasing amounts of traffic and noise. No facilities for housing estate of this size. Schools, drs, dentists etc will not be able to cater for this.	None
5/20/2025 20:27:44	Ws79jp	No	<p>Green belt land gone forever.</p> <p>Wildlife and habitats disregarded.</p> <p>Increase of road traffic in an area not built for such heavy congestion (country lanes). How on Earth will farewell lane traffic lights cope with 300+ cars on a commute every morning?</p> <p>People can say that we are on an estate (church farm) that caused this uproar back in the 60s. But access wise, the roads could deal with it. But not now!</p> <p>Often an increase of crime with new housing estates.</p> <p>Anti-social behaviour on Morissons estate has been recognisable.</p> <p>Eye sore in our stunning area.</p> <p>Noise pollution</p>	None. I don't feel like we need the pleasantries they seem to think they are offering within their plan

Timestamp	Your Postcode	Do you support the proposed building development?	What concerns do you have about the development?	What benefits do you see in the development?
5/20/2025 20:27:49	WS7 9QX	No	Green belt land and loss of countryside, spoilt views. Pollution during construction. Devaluing of existing properties in the immediate vicinity. Council tax increases. Additional traffic and poor existing road maintenance never mind with increased volume in this area. Lack of amenities for additional residents.	
5/20/2025 20:32:31	Ws7 2bb	No	Destruction of green land, lack of infrastructure, more traffic. Loss of native wildlife	None
5/20/2025 20:33:14	WS73QW (formerly W	No	Building on what has always been green belt land	None
5/20/2025 21:13:48	WS7 2HY	No	The loss of countryside!! As well as congestion in and around the village, too many houses and not enough facilities to accommodate already.	None
5/20/2025 21:50:22	Ws79dr	No	Loss of greenbelt countryside, historic area destroyed, loss of boundary between burntwood, overcrowded and overpopulated area, not adequate infrastructure for a large op eg) roads, traffic, noise, litter),	NONE
5/20/2025 21:54:37	WS7 9QG	No	Additional traffic on Church Road and Farewell Lane, which are already narrow roads. In addition to Coulter Lane which lacks visibilty and is narrow with poor maintenance. Loss of greenbelt land, which my property looks directly over. Overall will have a huge negative impact on the value of my property.	None
5/20/2025 21:58:38	Ws7 9lw	No	Lack of local infrastructure, including health centre. More investment is required in Burntwood town centre prior to more properties being built. Lack of doctors already, increased traffic	None
5/20/2025 21:58:42	WS7 3XY	No	Not even infrastructure to support new housing, doctors, schools etc Also want green belt land protected. This will ruin the landscape of the area	None
5/20/2025 22:00:06	Ws7 9dr	No	- Destroys British countryside and heritage and history. - over populated as it is. Couldn't get in to doctors surgery in burntwood for 20 days last time I tried to get an appointment! - building land on poor infrastructure, only narrow country lane access. - disruption to the local community, especially those who have lived there for years! We don't deserve that	None
5/20/2025 22:02:42	Ws7 9dr	No	Overcrowding and spoiling natural habitat	Nothing
5/20/2025 22:14:08	ST55HR	No	The roads cannot support 300 houses. Why destroy green built when there are sites on the edge of Rugeley? Because developers can make more profit !	None
5/20/2025 22:29:48	WS7 9DR	No	Will become too busy and ruin the natural beauty of the greenbelt	None
5/20/2025 22:31:38	WS7 3RT	Yes	None	A new housing estate in burntwood

Timestamp	Your Postcode	Do you support the proposed building development?	What concerns do you have about the development?	What benefits do you see in the development?
5/20/2025 22:53:57	WS7 2EB	No	Lack of local infrastructure to accommodate the number of residents: doctors, schools, etc. Ruining the beautiful green area it's being built on which existing local residents use for enjoyment of leisure and health. Impact to traffic in that area; Coulter Lane cannot cope with the increase in vehicles accessing and exiting the site. This little town is being flooded with excessive new housing with no development of local services to accommodate the increased number of people wishing to use them.	None.
5/20/2025 23:27:17	WS7 0JS	No	More traffic on already poor roads, loss of greenbelt/fields, more pressure on local doctors and schools.	None
5/21/2025 6:05:52	WS7 4QD	No	We should not be building on this land - there are no amenities to support building and there are already enough houses being built without infrastructure to cater for this	Nine - I just see more land being taken up by houses we don't need to supposedly house people out of the area and ruining our countryside and green belt land should not be built on, there are plenty of other places for 300 homes to be built - it will overstretch our infrastructure and amenities
5/21/2025 6:07:34	Ws7 0he	No	That it is a license to build anywhere. These spaces are safe havens for so many	Na
5/21/2025 6:24:35	Ws73xf	No	We don't need more building put up it's bad enough you have took nature's home by building homes never alone kicking wild life out of there homes to build more pointless buildings if it's new homes we don't need more homes if it's shops you don't need more shops it's not fair on the wild animals that can't speak up for themselves	I dont see any benefits all I see is a deers homes a birds home and other animals homes being destroyed because humans want to build a pointless building as what you gonna do for those animals when you take there home away you gonna build them a new safe environment I doubt you will
5/21/2025 6:24:48	Ws70ep	No		
5/21/2025 6:55:55	WS72DJ	No	Will bring even more traffic & no way will church Rd/ farewell lane cope	None
5/21/2025 7:25:18	WS79JT	No	Increase in traffic. Doctors and schools will not cope. Anti social behaviour if a retail unit is built. Loss of green belt	None
5/21/2025 8:28:02	WS7 9ht	No	The pressure on local resources such as Doctors and Schools (particularly high schools) and of course using the natural beautiful Greenland within Burntwood.	None
5/21/2025 8:58:47	WS7 9BE	No	Want our fields and countryside to remain just that, don't want more housing. Don't want more traffic. GP's appointments already hard to get, our schools are already at bursting point!	None
5/21/2025 9:29:56	WS7 4SW	No	increase in traffic, insufficient infrastructure to support the development - roads, schools, doctors, shopping facilities etc	nothing obvious
5/21/2025 9:31:11	Ws7 9hu	Unsure	Traffic building works	Local shops would be good

Timestamp	Your Postcode	Do you support the proposed building development?	What concerns do you have about the development?	What benefits do you see in the development?
5/21/2025 10:06:06	WS7 9DW	No	It's in Green Belt	None
5/21/2025 10:10:03	WS7 3XG	No	It's in the Green Belt and the Infrastructure is not capable of sustaining the volume of the extra traffic Our Doctors are full and schools	None
5/21/2025 10:54:20	WS71PX	No	Green belt land. Too many vehicles potentially using narrow lanes in and out. More children using overcrowded schools. Too many people will be added to the struggling doctors. Burntwood Health and Wellbeing has already been closed with 5000 patients having to integrate.	NONE!!
5/21/2025 11:54:01	Ws72hr	No	Large increase in traffic around rural lanes. Disruption to wildlife in the area	Nil
5/21/2025 12:28:37	Ws71px	No	<p>Burntwood is a small area. We have already had new homes built on green land by cannock chase sadly this has caused alot of wildlife to try and find new homes. We now have a massive influx in wild deer now standing around morrisons car park also standing on roundabouts that is dangerous to the deer and public who drive a vehicle</p> <p>We also do not have enough GP surgeries, schools, NHS dentists that could possibly take on more people to the community people who already live in Burntwood struggle to get a doctors appointment. for their children to attend the schools of choice because of placement limits this includes primary and secondary. Alot of building developers say we will also build shops but usually this is a co_op a shop that's extremely expensive. Or we will add an additional school this has never happened in all the years I have lived in Burntwood. We already have limited resources for the elderly and teenage children alot of places have closed down over the years. Sadly I have to say myself and alot of my family and friends that live here pay the price for it we pay high council tax but we pay it for Burntwoods natural beauty our green belt too come home after a hectic busy day at work to peace and quiet we don't want to see Burntwoods natural beauty flattened by more housing. I pay alot to live in my home and us residents of Burntwood pay a big premium for our houses because we love what we have around us I totally object to more homes being built on that land we had a lovely cafe on it things that people actually wanted but sadly they didn't get a new license for it too keep running and now we know why because housing want it. We simply don't want no more homes built on our green belt</p>	Absolutely none at all.
5/21/2025 12:28:53	Ws7 4ss	No	<p>Everything. Building on greenbelt land, harm to wildlife, roads not big enough, infrastructure, GP appointments, schools not big enough.</p> <p>300 houses = 1200 people on average.</p> <p>Builders should build on brown belt land first.</p>	None
5/21/2025 13:17:26	WS7 9AA	No	Green belt land is precious, hedge rows and trees will be removed to make way for yet more houses. Many more brown field sites available in Burntwood, lack of infrastructure locally to support more houses. Schools are short of spaces, forcing parents into cars to the school run and increasing traffic locally.	
5/21/2025 13:18:38	Ws7 4ux	No		
5/21/2025 13:52:01	Ws7 1aw	Unsure	Use of country side, not enough school places or healthcare provision,	Need for affordable houses and bungalows
5/21/2025 13:55:55	Ws7 2au	No	Losing more natural wild views, loss of habitat and homes to a huge variety of wildlife, over population	None at all

Timestamp	Your Postcode	Do you support the proposed building development?	What concerns do you have about the development?	What benefits do you see in the development?
5/21/2025 14:07:41	Ws7 9ee	No	Decimation of green belt, effect of retail units on existing businesses, excessive traffic, impact on local residents mental health and wellbeing. Fulfen school becoming huge and soulless. Extra traffic, strain on roads, once green belt has gone it's gone and there is no going back.	Absolutely none whatsoever!
5/21/2025 14:33:57	Ws79JU	No	Burntwood as a whole does not have enough infrastructure for its current residents never mind another 1000+ people. Not enough school places, doctors, etc. Building next to the school will cause increase in road traffic for months/years and with school traffic it'll be chaos for residents on surrounding roads. Also the green belt needs to be protected instead of just being built on.	None
5/21/2025 14:41:20	Ws7 2au	No	Taking away our local countryside.	None
5/21/2025 15:47:15	WS7 9BN	No	Increased traffic along Rugeley Road and Church Road. Higher crime	None
5/21/2025 16:28:58	WS7 4TA	No	Invasion of green belt, poor infrastructure in surrounding area to support increased number of residents, traffic etc.	None.
5/21/2025 18:13:33	WS7 9EB	No	Loss of the most attractive piece of land around Burntwood. Coulter lane not wide enough for entry of traffic from development on eastern side. Church Road, Farewell Lane and Rugeley Road are already gridlocked at peak traffic times. The walks down delightful Coulter Lane which which many residents and visitors enjoy will be ruined. These walks are vital to many for their health and well-being.	None
5/21/2025 18:35:50	WS7 9AD	No	We don't have the infrastructure to support anymore people in Burntwood. It's already a nightmare with doctors/Schools etc..	
5/21/2025 19:09:25	WS7 9JU	No	It would ruin the scenery and what type of people move into the area	N/A
5/21/2025 19:11:00	Ws79ju	No	Longer waiting times at doctors, bigger classes at nursery/school. Ruining our landscape	None what so ever
5/21/2025 19:50:30	Ws7 9ll	No	Roads schools doctors green space	None
5/21/2025 20:19:03	WS7 1NF	No	The infrastructure of the area is already struggling with the new houses been built. It can take 4 weeks for GP appointment With 1000 new houses been built in Heath Hayes as well the road from Cannock to Lichfield will come to crawl it's bad enough now at busy times	None
5/21/2025 21:29:55	Ws79lf	No	Increased road traffic and pollution, lack of amenities to support increase in population	
5/21/2025 21:35:57	Ws7 2EB	No	Green belt land, traffic	
5/21/2025 21:37:50	WS79LF	No	Increased road traffic and pollution. Lack of amenities to support increased population. Lack of school spaces. Loss of green belt and open space. Changes the feel of where we live. Not enough investment in amenities for children and young people. Vast increase in homes/influx of families with limited things to do could result in a rise in anti social behaviour. Lanes around Coulter lane are already narrow and in desperate need of resurfacing. Increased traffic will cause congestion.	None
5/21/2025 21:49:20	WS7 9QS	No	Everything concerns me	None
5/21/2025 21:56:05	WS7 1JW	No	Development on green belt land is the main concern, the roads in the are are already overloaded with the St Matthews Estates more home are only going to make it worse.	No benefits to the local area

Timestamp	Your Postcode	Do you support the proposed building development?	What concerns do you have about the development?	What benefits do you see in the development?
5/21/2025 22:16:12	Ws15 4rr	No	Destroying greenbelt land. Overburdening the local area and the negative effects on wildlife.	None
5/21/2025 23:00:20	WS7 9QG	No	The sheer added volume of traffic, houses, in small part of Burntwood, loss of our country side , walks and lanes	Nothing at all
5/21/2025 23:02:37	WS7 9DR	No	1)Proposing to use greenbelt. 2)Lack of suitable access/infrastructure. Roads are already in a poor state and left unrepaired, more traffic will worsen the problem and increase journey times with additional vehicles at peak hours. 3)Introduction of more people into an area with already overstretched & limited resources. 4)damage to environment, loss of natural habitats for wildlife. 5)Over population of small village and loss of community spirit. 6) If greenbelt used, this development may set the precedent for future planning applications and loss of once protected prized greenbelt areas. 7)Question the actual need for more houses in this location.	May increase funding in the area but not proportionally to cover the additional problems the size of development would cause
5/22/2025 4:42:52	WS7 0LH	No	Overdevelopment on green belt land with already struggling infrastructure and facilities that do not support Burntwood at all.	None
5/22/2025 6:38:50	WS7 2PA	No	We already don't have enough resources, I feel like this would put an extra strain on those and make it even harder for people already living here. If one thing we should focus on making Burntwood look better first and give us some more of those resources before building housing.	None
5/22/2025 7:27:20	WS70BN	No	Loss of greenbelt	None
5/22/2025 7:31:50	Ws79jw	No		
5/22/2025 7:57:47	Ws7	No	Taking all the green belt land for greed we don't need any more homes. We are already way over the limit for the area. More land your stealing from the wildlife.	None just more problems in the area
5/22/2025 8:14:21	Ws79qd	No	Lack of amenities	None
5/22/2025 11:54:16	WS13 8DB	No	Traffic on country lanes. No public transport. Lack of amenities. Destruction of wildlife and countryside. The beginning of the end of our beautiful village.	None
5/22/2025 12:04:15	Ws138db	No	St Matt's and Church Farm estate already create a bottleneck on Rugeley Rd and swan island. Farewell lane will have much more traffic and the lanes are far too narrow to cope with the extra traffic the development will bring. No buses at all and Burntwood village will be ruined	
5/22/2025 12:07:50	Ws13 8da	No	Not enough infrastructure, people will cut through the lanes. Abnalls, cross in hand and wood houses to get to Lichfield. The roads are already busy and can not cope.	None
5/22/2025 12:10:50	Ws138da	No	Eating into beautiful green belt in area of outstanding beauty	None
5/22/2025 12:26:00	WS13 8db	No	Traffic in the tight lanes and the violatue being used as a cut through. Danger to walkers vocalist and horses	None
5/22/2025 12:33:49	WS13 8DB	No	Conflict of cars in narrow lanes on walkers, cyclists and horse riders	
5/22/2025 12:47:16	WS138DE	No	Traffic the lanes are precious walkers ,horses etc	None
5/22/2025 12:48:55	WS13 8BY	No	Extra traffic on Coulter Lane leading to risk to pedestrians, cyclists, horse riders and other motor cars	

Timestamp	Your Postcode	Do you support the proposed building development?	What concerns do you have about the development?	What benefits do you see in the development?
5/22/2025 12:54:59	WS13 8DB	No	Excessive traffic on small lanes and already busy residential roads that are almost impassable at school times.	None
5/22/2025 13:04:43	Ws13 8dp	No	The lanes are not built for that sort of heavy traffic. The potholes are already abundant throughout the lanes.	None.
5/22/2025 13:14:15	WS13 8DB	No	Too many cars, roads too small for 600+ extra cars as most households have 2, lanes won't be safe for walkers, cyclists and horse riders, national speed limit in place means more accidents, taking away scenery, higher demand for local amenities such as GP surgeries, nurseries and schools	None at all
5/22/2025 13:48:55	WS13 8DP	No	Ruining rural land. Over population of already stretched resources ie GPs and schools. Increase in traffic on rural lanes	None
5/22/2025 14:09:34	WS13 8DB	No	Far too much traffic, infrastructure can't cope	None
5/22/2025 15:56:33	WS23 8BX	No	Road network not able to cope, loss of green belt land	I don't
5/22/2025 16:25:47	WS154BA	No	Dentists: All NHS practices are full – I travel to Walsall Wood privately	
			GPs: Burntwood surgeries are overwhelmed – some residents are sent to Cannock Wood	
			Schools: Fulfen Primary has no capacity for more children	
			Midwives & District Nurses: In short supply or unavailable altogetherCoulter Lane is narrow, without pavements	
			One access road in and out – already unsafe for children walking or cycling	
5/22/2025 17:10:42	WS13 8DA	No	A development of this scale adds hundreds of cars, delivery vans, school runs	There isn't the infrastructure to support this project. The lanes between the development site and the A51 are already too busy with vehicles driving too quickly and carelessly. The lanes around here will inevitably be used as access to that road which will lead to accidents and injuries- possibly even death. There are no footpaths here so the increase in traffic will be highly dangerous to walkers and particularly children.. Doctor's surgeries and scoops in the area are already overcrowded and this will exacerbate the problem.
			Emergency access? Congestion? It's a disaster waiting to happen	

Timestamp	Your Postcode	Do you support the proposed building development?	What concerns do you have about the development?	What benefits do you see in the development?
5/22/2025 17:39:09	WS13 8DN	No	I have lived in Chorley basically all my life and over the last few years there has been an increase in the traffic coming through the village and bigger vehicles that are not suitable for the national speed limit lanes. The 30mph zone in the village is the only thing that has changed and that took a lot of work from the community to get that done. The roads are not fit for purpose for low numbers of vehicles passing through let alone however many more will be using them with all these houses put in.	None
5/22/2025 17:56:42	WS13 8DB	No	The village of Chorley cannot cope with the additional transport driving through a village that encompasses horses /cyclists walkers and pets	None whatsoever
5/22/2025 17:58:31	WS13 8DB	No	300 new builds will create at least 600 extra vehicles using the back lanes as a cut through causing additional concerns for the villagers of Chorley as well as the horses /cyclists walkers and household pets.	None
5/22/2025 18:01:45	WS13 8DA	No	Lack of public infrastructure and road congestion. The unnecessary use of green belt land. Damage to wildlife.	None
5/22/2025 18:07:21	Ws13 8da	No	Lack of doctors in the area we all struggle to get appointment's, people cutting through the lanes wood houses, cross in hand and abnalls. These are national speed limit lanes but are dangerously driven down they are windy and not capable of large volumes of traffic. The lanes are used by walkers, horse riders, farmers and local village folk. We've had many casualties with animals being run over. Only a matter of time until that's a person if traffic volume increased. The drains already can't cope I can't remember the last time I seen anyone tending to them. The roads also have many pot holes nothing is maintained and with larger volumes of traffic this would only worsen.	None that are being proposed
5/22/2025 18:23:26	Ws13 8bz	No	Ruin the country side.	None
5/22/2025 18:44:12	WS7 9JX	No	Traffic volume, pressure on health services.	None
5/22/2025 18:47:49	WS79QE	No	Far too big and unsuitable for where it is. It is unsuitable as the roads are too small and there is insufficient infrastructure to support it.	None
5/22/2025 19:07:56	WS7 0JW	No	Loss of green belt land - we paid a premium for our home in order to live in a rural area which is now being turned into nothing but houses. There are over 1000 homes being built in Lichfield so struggle to understand why greenbelt needs to be destroyed in our villages. Also the village is an access way for horse riders to bridleways and the roads (that we have no choice but to use) are already dangerous without 300+ extra cars. The local riding club is often ridden to by local children which will be increasingly dangerous with this sort of development or the clubs could be lost after 100+ years of running.	None.
5/22/2025 19:10:52	WS7 9JX	No	Local infrastructure, roads, primary and secondary schools already full, traffic Congestion, increased pollution, loss of countryside and mental health help, health (gp's and dentists overstretched as it is. No local employment or industry, residents will have to travel increasing pollution and Congestion. Local power, water systems compromised.	None

Timestamp	Your Postcode	Do you support the proposed building development?	What concerns do you have about the development?	What benefits do you see in the development?
5/22/2025 19:12:41	Ws79qh	No	It's Green belt land! Loss of natural environment and wildlife Traffic congestion Schools Doctors	None
5/22/2025 20:58:08	WS7 9QS	No	Everything about it	Zero benefits
5/22/2025 21:02:51	Ws79dw	No		None
5/22/2025 21:03:15	WS70JE	No	Building on a greenbelt. Traffic. Environmental concerns. Burntwood has already exceeded it's housing quota. More identikit houses of poor quality and a distinct lack of imagination. Leave the greenbelt alone, build on the brownfield sites.	None
5/22/2025 21:10:09	WS7 9QH	No	Infrastructure, schools, doctors, roads. We struggle to get school places and doctors appointments as it is.	None
5/22/2025 21:17:28	WS138DA	No	That is exactly where a large amount of people from Burntwood walk , run bring there children on cycles in the quiet countryside. Where would you like them to go A walk through a new housing estate or get in there car and drive out somewhere to get to the countryside . Isn't that a backwards move	Non with the size of development it's too big
5/22/2025 21:22:30	Ws79ab	No	It's green space and should be protected. There's plenty of unused brown space in the area that should be used first for housing	None
5/22/2025 21:26:39	WS7 2DA	No	We don't have the best infrastructure in Burntwood at the moment for all the houses we have currently so adding more houses to that will destroy it even more. Primary and secondary schools are at capacity already, people can't get doctor's appointments and the roads are terrible! The area is a green belt and should stay that way. The roads will not be able to cope with yet more traffic, let alone the construction traffic. We want the space for our kids to play and enjoy nature, people to walk dogs, people to ride horses and have countryside that everyone can enjoy. We simply cannot accept any more houses to be built or even think about agreeing to new developments until the infrastructure is at its best for all of those who live here currently and have lived here for many years!	None
5/22/2025 21:29:50	WS7 9DZ	No	eco - infrastructure - traffic	none at all
5/22/2025 21:33:03	WS7 9JP	No	Building on green belt. Road infrastructure will not support the development. Excess traffic. GP surgeries already heavily subscribed. 6 weeks for an appointment. Other services will be strained such as school places. Surely there are brownfield sites which could be considered.	None whatsoever.

Timestamp	Your Postcode	Do you support the proposed building development?	What concerns do you have about the development?	What benefits do you see in the development?
5/22/2025 21:35:03	WS7 9DW	No	<p>Infrastructure!!! Drainage Floor land, culverts, drainage Potential to flood existing properties which aren't able to get home insurance for this precise reason!! Influx of traffic Additional Pollution from idle vehicles at stand still backed up traffic.</p> <p>Noise pollution from exhaust systems, radios. General tyre to tarmac friction</p> <p>Animals/ wildlife - Deer already live at morrisons because their land was taken away. They need a home too! The green belt is for them. Has the area been surveyed for protected species such as newts/ bats / badgers / door mice / birds / snakes to name a few and protected safe spaces for living creatures which could potentially inhabit the marshy lands. Wild Plant species are also protected such as fungus etc</p> <p>Poor condition roads 3ft deep pot holes EVERYWHERE No doctors No shops No dentists including NHS. No youth centres No regular bus service Bins aren't emptied regularly Drains are never pumped Roads are never swept Persistent failed promises from previous developments such as cinemas, a town centre with real shops,</p> <p>CHILDRENS SAFTEY WITH CONSTRUCTION TRAFFIC</p> <p>No car parks etc to park around the school at peak times let alone construction wagons driving down "LANES" on blind bends and steep hills.</p> <p>Traffic calming measures in place but aren't maintained so isn't effective when the speed bump is full of pot holes and crumbled away to nothing.</p> <p>1 main road which leads out of burntwood to lichfield Or 1 main road to the A5 Inevitably will cause RTA's, traffic light failures, hit and runs, pedestrian fatalities</p> <p>1000+ MORE potential cars a day at peak times the roads that can't withstand the current wear and tear - Nobody fixing them - Catastrophic outcomes.</p> <p>Prince's Park is located in Burntwood, just off Farewell Lane. Prince's Park was created to commemorate the marriage of Albert Edward, Prince of Wales and Princess Alexandra of Denmark in 1863.</p> <p>Fix burntwood for the people whom already occupy the area, don't add hundreds if not thousands more to an already crumbling town! Which is constantly lied to and let down at every hurdle.</p>	Absolutely nothing.

Timestamp	Your Postcode	Do you support the proposed building development?	What concerns do you have about the development?	What benefits do you see in the development?
5/22/2025 21:42:16	Ws79jp	No		None
5/22/2025 21:54:16	WS7 9EE	No	Sheer volume of traffic in roads that were not designed for this purpose and have not been upgraded in preparation. Damage to local ecology - owls, insects and flora. Lack of services in the area already- these need to be upgraded first.	
5/22/2025 21:55:21	WS7 9EE	No	One of my concerns is that Burntwood does not have the infrastructure to support the proposed plans. As a community, we already struggle to gain access to important facilities such as the doctors, dentists etc. The conditions of the roads are already extremely poor and poorly maintained. I am concerned that the proposed construction work that is estimated to take 7 years will cause further problems to the roads. Further to this, the safety aspect of the construction vehicles is a concern, as school children and families have to cross what are already busy roads. The amount of cars these proposed 300 houses will bring to Burntwood is horrendous, and will certainly increase the commute time of Burntwood residents. Finally, our green belt needs to be protected; once it's gone it's gone.	None.
5/22/2025 22:01:35	WS7 9QG	No	Lack of infrastructure, poor roads, sewerage, flooding, lack of doctors (already 5/6 weeks wait to see a GP), lack of dentists	
5/22/2025 22:06:01	WS7 9JY	No	Inadequate infrastructure - schools, hospitals, doctors and dentists are already struggling to cope with the present population. Local roads are narrow, rural roads and the main roads are already overwhelmed with traffic.	None, as the area is not coping with current population.
5/22/2025 22:09:13	WS79QG	No	We currently have very poor infrastructure for the homes here. We need this sorted before looking to new homes. We need Doctors, Dentists, roads with no pot holes. Schools with places. The roads surrounding the area are already clogged with school traffic, they aren't built for construction traffic and then an extra 600 cars. All this traffic will exit to Lichfield and A5 through inappropriate roads. The area is under consideration is also flood land, that water will be pushed onto Coulter Lane and Church Road.	None
5/22/2025 22:16:31	Ws7 2ys	No	Impact on availability of local services (gp and schools) and unsuitable road infrastructure in the area, particularly Coulter lane. If the local area was unsuitable for a small outdoor cafe, there is no way it is suitable for a large housing estate.	
5/22/2025 23:41:13	WS13 8DF	No	We have owned farmland surrounding this area for many years although lanes would have to be widened it would ruin the natural beauty of the area. We already have problems with the lanes been over used and drivers doing 60mph creating great risk of collisions. All schools are full and you can't get a doctors appointment anywhere local(we have had to move to a doctor out the area) if this were to go ahead I would be deeply saddened as I think that there is no need for the development of these houses and would do more damage than good.	None

Timestamp	Your Postcode	Do you support the proposed building development?	What concerns do you have about the development?	What benefits do you see in the development?
5/22/2025 23:47:02	Ws79ea	No	<p>My objections focus on commuting access, inadequate infrastructure, environmental impacts, protected species, community heritage, digital connectivity concerns, and disruption to local education.</p> <hr/> <p>1. Unsustainable Commuting Access:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •The site lacks reliable public transport options, forcing increased car dependency, directly conflicting with NPPF Paras 104–106 promoting sustainable transport. •The absence of safe cycling or pedestrian infrastructure makes commuting without a vehicle impractical and unsafe. <p>2. Inadequate Road Infrastructure:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Coulter Lane and Church Road are narrow, rural roads unsuitable for increased traffic volume, creating congestion, safety risks, and infrastructure strain. •No detailed Traffic Impact Assessment has been provided to justify this development. <p>3. Absence of Infrastructure Investment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •No confirmed improvements to public transport, roads, schools, or healthcare facilities are detailed, raising concerns about capacity and service quality for residents. <p>4. Conflict with Green Belt and Local Plan:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •The land is designated Green Belt and “safeguarded” for future consideration—not immediate development. Development now undermines strategic planning and long-term environmental protection. <p>5. No Demonstrated Very Special Circumstances:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •The applicant has not demonstrated exceptional circumstances justifying Green Belt land use, as required by NPPF Paras 147–148. <p>6. Precedent for Further Development:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Approval risks setting a dangerous precedent, weakening future Green Belt protections and encouraging speculative developments. <p>7. Harm to Local Character and Amenity:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •This proposal would significantly degrade the rural nature of Burntwood, reducing the area’s tranquillity, quality of life, and natural beauty. <p>8. Environmental and Biodiversity Damage:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •The proposed site is known habitat for wildlife, including legally protected bats. Disturbing bat roosts is illegal under the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 and Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017. •A full Ecological Impact Assessment and detailed bat survey are legally required prior to decision-making. <p>9. Community Heritage – Prince’s Park:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Prince’s Park, adjacent to the proposed development, holds historical significance as Britain’s smallest park and a cherished community landmark since 1863. •Development threatens the park’s unique heritage, community identity, and its ecological value. <p>10. Poor Digital Connectivity:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •The area currently experiences poor mobile signal and limited broadband access, which undermines the development’s suitability in modern life. •This conflicts with NPPF Paragraph 114, which requires high-quality communications infrastructure to 	Non

Timestamp	Your Postcode	Do you support the proposed building development?	What concerns do you have about the development?	What benefits do you see in the development?
5/23/2025 0:04:57	WS79QP	No	Increased use of the small lanes, congestion, destroying rural area and wildlife habitats, increased strain on nearby facilities. Unnecessary to build here	None
5/23/2025 2:13:58	WS72HN	No	Public services and road network. More people. Types of people. Over run schools, doctors etc already.	None
5/23/2025 5:17:11	Ws7 9jh	No	Increased volume of traffic, lack of facilities eg doctors, schools, dentists already, won't be able to cope with more	None
5/23/2025 6:39:32	WS7 9LA	No	<p>No development should go ahead until the infrastructure is In place before housing is considered. Doctors nurses midwives (plans to close Samuel Johnson hospital maternity unit Lichfield).GP appointments (can't get one).schools (already at capacity local roads jammed at peak times). Dentist. Roads already flooded with traffic. Coulter LANE, Nether LANE single track road not suitable anymore traffic. Risk of danger to walkers,runners,cyclists,dog walkers,horse riders frequently used by these people, these are country lanes.</p> <p>Dangerous junction Nether Lane and Rugely Road(corner nags head pub)60MPH to 30MPH junction is hidden.</p> <p>Public transport (services being cut not added)</p> <p>Burntwood village not enough parking spaces for shopping centre</p> <p>Youth services (youth centre was closed)</p> <p>Sewers(whole system would need updating)</p> <p>Lastly the wildlife, it will destroy habitat of cuckoo,woodpecker,owls,pheasant,jays,goldfinch,starlings, housemartins,blackbirds,sparrows,wrens,robins,tit family,doves,chaffinch,bullfinches and BATS (protected species</p>	Nothing at all
5/23/2025 6:46:43	WS7 9JX	No	<p>Noise, traffic, parking, very dangerous roads, there have already been many deaths on the main road in the years I've lived here, loss of diverse countryside and wildlife. There is no need for the development as the demographic of Church Farm is that 2/3 of the houses will be for sale within the next 15 years thus releasing smaller homes in the area for younger families. Traffic fumes with vehicles queuing to get out of Church Road and Farewell Lane in a morning, my daughter lives in Farewell Lane and it's so dangerous getting off the driveway in a morning when she takes my grandchildren to school . Putting shops opposite a walkway from a tiny cul de sac inhabited by mainly very elderly people is going to turn it into a main thoroughfare accessed by bikes, motorcycles and scooters. Vapes and bottles being strewn around the area and shops being frequented day and night by gangs on bikes. Damage to the church and churchyard and the two historic graveyards. The impact on one of the area's beloved gems, the smallest park and its trees Faith, Hope and Charity. Drug dealing, opening up this area to a demographic which has so far been absent in the area. Echoing others there are no jobs in this area, we are a commuter town and many local people move to this end of town to see out their latter years in peace and tranquility. Three story houses which blight the skyline. Lack of doctors, dentists, hospitals, police, problems for emergency services accessing the areas with all the extra traffic. No facilities for young people and children. Lack of school places, no further education facilities. Possibility of current areas and roads being flooded once homes built on the land .</p>	Absolutely none

Timestamp	Your Postcode	Do you support the proposed building development?	What concerns do you have about the development?	What benefits do you see in the development?
5/23/2025 7:26:30	ws79qd	No	Bloor failed to complete the promised childrens area on St Matthews estate, therefore take any promises with a large dose of salt. Look at the old photo of flooding in the dip of Church Rd, housing in this area will cause drainage issues. The roads and lanes are a nightmare already before adding 300-500 more cars at rush hour. We'll never get out to Farewell Lane lights bottleneck. Strawberry Fields cafe was shut down as "unsuitable for green belt use", so building a housing estate on is is certainly not suitable use. The views to Cannock Chase are a tonic from the church and Prince's Park and must be preserved for future generations to enjoy.	None whatsoever, existing brownfield sites with better access must be used up before destroying green belt land. We will fight this.
5/23/2025 8:03:45	WS7 OLN	No	Too much strain on local services chaos on country lanes and it's should stay as green belt	None
5/23/2025 8:11:24	WS79AW	No	We do not have the infrastructure already in place for people now without further development such as schools doctors dentists roads .	None
5/23/2025 8:12:21	WS13 8DF	No	We do not have the infrastructure and Roads to support this Development.	None over than a strain on local facilities
5/23/2025 8:50:13	WS7 9BU	No	<p>The proposed housing development on Greenbelt land threatens the very fabric of our community. Our schools are already overstretched—without proper expansion, children will face overcrowded classrooms and declining education standards. Local amenities, including healthcare, transport, and essential services, will struggle to cope, leading to longer wait times, gridlocked roads, and increased strain on public utilities.</p> <p>Beyond infrastructure concerns, this reckless development will devastate our environment. Greenbelt land acts as a natural flood defence and a vital ecosystem for wildlife. Building over it risks increased flooding, worsened pollution, and the loss of precious green spaces that enhance our quality of life.</p> <p>Traffic congestion will soar, as existing roads are not equipped to handle the influx of new residents. More cars will mean longer travel times, increased air pollution, and a greater risk of accidents. Without significant upgrades to transport infrastructure, commuters will face daily frustration, and emergency services will struggle with delays.</p> <p>The character of our community is at stake. Rapid expansion without strategic planning will erode local identity, overwhelm resources, and force existing residents to bear the consequences of poor decision-making.</p> <p>This is not sustainable growth—it is short-sighted destruction. Greenbelt land must be protected for future generations. We demand responsible urban planning that prioritises established infrastructure and safeguards the well-being of our community.</p>	None

Timestamp	Your Postcode	Do you support the proposed building development?	What concerns do you have about the development?	What benefits do you see in the development?
5/23/2025 9:41:47	WS7 9DS	No	<p>Traffic congestion, particularly the effect on commuting. Rugeley road to swan island is already problematic, the lichfield road approaching Burntwood is congested most evenings. Further road development will erode the quality of life, in the area by increasing traffic. I note that improvements were I believe promised when the st Mathew's development was built, these never happened.</p> <p>Spoiling of the area with extra housing, visitors often comment how lovely the area is.</p> <p>Policing is already stretched to the limit, we've been a victim of crime at home on two occasions. Extra housing will further stretch police resources.</p> <p>I struggle to get a doctors appointment, increased housing will again stretch resources. I like the area I live in, I feel I would look to relocate if this development proceeds, if others feel like this this will negatively affect property values.</p>	None
5/23/2025 10:25:09	Ws7 0jg	No	<p>Too much pressure on the infrastructure and road networks</p> <p>The percentage of social housing on the site</p> <p>Impact on local wildlife/green belt</p>	None
5/23/2025 11:01:31	WS70JQ	No	<p>General growth of an area into country space. The surrounding road infrastructure is already stretched. Doctors appointments are difficult to get as it is. I feel as if Burntwood as a whole is already one of the largest boroughs, and that brownfield sites should be used for housing. Further expansion of Burntwood is changing the character of the area.</p>	None
5/23/2025 11:44:33	Ws7 9ea	No	<p>You will ruin the reason why people move to this side of burntwood!</p>	Absolutely nothing
5/23/2025 12:19:56	WS7 9DX	No	<p>Lack of inter structure, congestion on Church Road and farewell Lane. Coulter Lane is narrow in places only one car able to use ,it is also main root to Chorley from burntwood and can get extremely busy with Tractor's and farm machinery. The roads are all ready busy and not suitable for extra traffic.</p> <p>My other concern is who will look after these open spaces, will it put more pressure on local councils who are already struggling to cope with what they have already ?.</p> <p>What about the wildlife?</p> <p>There will be more noise.</p> <p>I know houses need to be built but you can't keep building on green space (Farm Land)</p>	None
5/23/2025 12:41:11	WS7 9EA	No	<p>Lack of eminities such has roads, doctors. Also the area is designated has a major flood risk.</p>	None
5/23/2025 12:44:15	WS7 9EA	No	<p>Roads and local eminities are allready overburdened, it is allready difficult to get doctors appointments.</p>	I see no benefit.

Timestamp	Your Postcode	Do you support the proposed building development?	What concerns do you have about the development?	What benefits do you see in the development?
5/23/2025 14:02:05	WS79QH	No	<p>Surrounding lanes (Coulter, nether lane, Woodhouses, plus others will be involved) too narrow and national speed limit, so fast speeding cars. Church road, farewell lane, St Matthews road and rugley road already very busy, sometimes too busy and congested. Dangerous for children trying to get to and from Fulfen school. Cannock road always busy. Lichfield road congested and often accidents by Woodhouses.</p> <p>Not enough infrastructure as it is, without additional residents. Existing schools over stretched. It's over 5 weeks for a none urgent appointment at the doctors surgeries.</p> <p>Not enough dentists. Optitians stretched and struggling. Not enough parking at existing shops, post offices and supermarkets. Totally the wrong area to have more people. Also the pollution aspect that will come with the building and construction plus additional motor vehicles.</p>	None
5/23/2025 17:21:48	Ws7 3rb	No	Absolutely disgraceful. Burntwood has enough traffic issues and this will only create more. Concentrate on brownfield sites not green belt there's plenty going Lidl have just purchased some near the town centre. Or look at expanding already established estates	None at all
5/23/2025 18:38:00	WS7 9DP	No	Lack of infrastructure, poor roads, lack of GP facilities, schools too small for extra demand	None
5/23/2025 21:12:41	Ws79bs	No	Traffic, noise, strain on local infrastructure and general damage to natural environment	More homes potentially bringing house prices down but that is very optimistic
5/24/2025 9:12:33	WS7 9EE	No	<p>Infrastructure and Green belt .</p> <p>Medical facilities, Hospitals, Schools,</p> <p>Shopping areas , Roads (Traffic jams , near school at 9.00 am and 3.30 pm . Horrendous to get out of our house.</p> <p>Also traffic in to Lichfield, to shop as not anything local)</p> <p>Thank you</p>	None
5/24/2025 9:21:46	WS7 9EE	No	<p>Overcrowding, Infrastructure, congestion, medical facilities, Hospitals, nearest ones are 10 miles away . (Walsall , Wolverhampton, or Burton) which is overcrowded and traffic is horrendous at the best of times . Shopping area , only Morrisons and Aldi which are full always . Schools, only one and causes traffic congestion around Church Road and Scholars Gate and leam Drive . GREEN BELT .</p> <p>Your children will it see any in future and nature . We need green space</p>	None at all
5/24/2025 9:59:07	WS7 0AJ	No	Infrastructure not able to support the people, road systems unable to cope with an extra 300-900 commuters, not enough schools, doctors and other public services. There are also huge building proposals in the area already. A massive strain on an area with one hospital that can only cope with certain ailments and A&E issues. People wait hours and hours as it is for emergency response	
5/24/2025 16:14:38	WS7 0JQ	No	Road System. Traffic through the village. Amenities. School system.	NONE
5/24/2025 16:25:44	WS7 9AP	No	Loss of Green Belt & Wildlife habitat. Infrastructure @breakingpoint already I.E. Roads,Sewers,Schools, Doctors. IT WILL NOT STOP AT 300 HOUSES	NONE
5/24/2025 19:01:34	Ws79dw	No	Too large a development, infrastructure unable to cope with extra motorists . Doctors in the area unable to cope with extra patients. Building on valuable green belt eroding away all essential nature reserves for wildlife.	None

Timestamp	Your Postcode	Do you support the proposed building development?	What concerns do you have about the development?	What benefits do you see in the development?
5/24/2025 19:35:17	Ws79ej	No	We live in Woodhouses road , when St Matthews estate was developed we were promised traffic calming measures which never happened . We are now subjected to anti social and dangerous driving , cars driving along footpaths as the road cannot cope with the amount of traffic. We have had several near misses in the road when cars mount the pavement . If the development gets the green light this will potentially put extra 300 cars onto our road if there is only one car per household. We have contacted Lichfield and Staffordshire county council about speeding and dangerous driving and they are simply not interested .	None .
5/24/2025 19:38:41	WS79DR	No	Road system already overloaded. Surrounding road (lanes) not wide enough to support the extra volume of traffic. Impact of construction traffic would be unsafe and damaging. Infrastructure not adequate to support current population, with insufficient access to medical, dental and education services. Extention to Fulfen school would put further pressure on secondary schools. This needs addressing prior to increasing primary school provision.	At present none. The above issues need addressing before further building progresses.
5/24/2025 21:13:28	WS7 9 DZ	No	I'm worried about the traffic (and possibly 600 cats on Church Road & Farewell Lane) and the total lack of infrastructure. The roads are already busy ! I already have to wait 5 weeks for a Dr's appointment and can't get into a dentist. The schools are full The proposed site is to be built on a flood plane !!!	None for the local area
5/25/2025 8:52:38	WS7 0JG	No	Infrastructure. Schools, shops and local facilities /amenities will not be able to support such a development. The area is already busy, especially congested around school time.	None. Maybe to the council but not to the public that already reside here.
5/25/2025 9:19:12	Ws7 9QQ	No	Works traffic, noise. The roads are to small around church road they wouldn't cope lots of Lorrie's. Not enough schools & doctor practices for the amount of people around burntwood!	Nothing chaos!
5/25/2025 9:24:20	WS7 0JG	No	Where to start noise, extra traffic, disruption to whole area , infrastructure just not in place , schools, doctors & wider range of medical help when needed & also the beautiful walks peacefully area' s that are so important for people to have access to to for all health reasons . It's heartbreaking to think that area is threatened never to get back .	None Only for builders & sellers financial gain
5/25/2025 13:10:10	Ws70jg	No	Overpopulation of the area and not enough facilities	None
5/25/2025 15:37:05	WS70jg	No	destroying countrysides and bringing more through traffic to the surrounding villages creating more danger for our children.	None
5/26/2025 9:39:31	Ws79jn	No	Road network, lack of school places, other available building sites that aren't green belt	
5/26/2025 16:53:39	Ws7 3xj	No	Losing Greenbelt, pressure on roads schools,roads, shopping areas already poor for people in area now without any additional houses	None
5/28/2025 8:35:30	Ws7 9ql	No	Infrastructure including roads, schools, health centres as well as removing vast tracts of greenery (moved here due to the scenic landscape, don't want to see it replaced with more poorly built ugly houses)	A closer shop. That's literally it.
5/28/2025 20:34:09	Ws7 9dp	No	Lack of infrastructure eg sewerage, schools, health services, increased traffic, loss of green belt and a negative effect on wildlife.	None
5/28/2025 21:05:58	WS70DY	No	The green belt is used by SO many locals and from further afield for the most beautiful walks etc. There is already no enough spaces for dentists, doctors or secondary schools...building more houses without the infa structure first is an awful idea	None

Timestamp	Your Postcode	Do you support the proposed building development?	What concerns do you have about the development?	What benefits do you see in the development?
5/28/2025 21:18:53	WS7 0JQ	No	Don't have the local infrastructure to deal with it. Ruins the green belt!	None
5/29/2025 0:23:06	WS7 9JG	No	It's a beautiful spot why spoil it with houses, there are other areas that homes can be built on- of course more homes are needed but please not there. There isn't the facilities in Burntwood as it is for the population, healthcare, schools, entertainment & leisure etc.	None it will just put more pressure on current services and health / education providers.
5/29/2025 8:41:51	Ws7 1lj	No	Less green belt area, more cars on the road, more people for doctors, dentists, schools etc, which we don't have enough of anyway. There's not much to do in Burntwood for the younger ones so there'll be more trouble with youths.	None
5/29/2025 10:17:46	Ws7 9EE	No	Lack of infrastructure, overcrowding of roads and schools, enough housing that has been built on green belt !	The only benefits will be for the developers!!!
5/29/2025 10:20:44	Ws7 1bs	No	Not enough infrastructure in place	None
5/29/2025 12:01:18	WS7 1AQ	No	maonly loss of greenbelt land & general strain on services schools doctors etc	non
5/29/2025 14:35:53	WS71JN	No	More loss of countryside and even more traffic	
5/29/2025 20:20:26	Ws7 4ts	No	This area does not need more houses being built and more houses to destroy our greenbelt	None
5/30/2025 6:59:56	WS7 9QH	Yes	None.	There is not enough affordable housing in the area for young people to live and stay in the town. I think this will be a great opportunity to provide more chance for them to live in Burntwood. There is a lot of rubbish spouted about greenbelt land by people who live in big posh houses built on green belt. Once they have secured a home they suddenly become nimbys.

Timestamp	Your Postcode	Do you support the proposed building development?	What concerns do you have about the development?	What benefits do you see in the development?
5/31/2025 9:33:43	WS7 0JQ	No	<p>The potential detrimental effect on the local environment and already busy roads, as well as the highly-pressurised medical, educational, leisure, and community facilities.</p> <p>This development would disrupt the ecosystem of probably the most beautiful spot in the community, impacting air quality and water drainage in an area that already has fewer primary schools (as well as the loss of Hammerwich hospital) than when I was born 41 years ago. Unfortunately, we have acquired thousands more houses and cars on the roads during this same period due to decades of haphazard development.(N.B. the vast majority of children aren't eligible to attend one of the primary schools that remains: Chasetown Community School, despite developers including it in advertising during previous attempts to build more houses in our area).</p> <p>It's not even a logical place to build new homes as we are a commuter town and it would drag all the associated traffic through the already over-loaded roads of Burntwood. This development would also pose a very real danger to children (including mine) attending both Fulfen and Highfields during the construction period (which is estimated to be longer than children actually spend in primary school!). This proposed development therefore really would be at the wrong time and in the wrong place...</p>	
5/31/2025 9:44:34	Ws7 4qz	No	All Burntwood has going for it is its green spaces. The road is not suitable for the level of traffic that would be created by this development (already unsafe). Local doctors and schools already oversubscribed. It would be better to spend time, effort and money on redeveloping run-down areas in Burntwood such as derelict sites at Sankeys Corner. Developers and the council care about nothing but money, and so I have no doubt this development will go through.	None. Just money for people who care very little about the community and probably live in some "best kept village" somewhere next to protected green-belt land (and would hate any such development in their area.)
5/31/2025 10:36:27	WS7 3XY	No	Over developing with little resources loosing more greenbelt. We do not need anymore housing!	None
5/31/2025 11:21:51	WS79JG	No		
5/31/2025 18:54:23	Ws7 1ny	No		
5/31/2025 21:36:30	Ws7 9ll	No	No doctors school and road infrastructure And taking away our green space when there are other areas to build	None
5/31/2025 22:27:48	Ws15 4na	No	No additional schools, can't get a GP appointment already, parking at sankeys is awful. This will just make it all worse. How are the lanes meant to accommodate all the traffic that will come with the development and then the new home owners. You say your plan includes community based centres and green spaces but Burntwood is already the poorer cousin of Lichfield with barely any budget, so where is the money coming from to support these. Great in an ideal world but we do not live in one.	
6/1/2025 21:52:27	WS79QY	No	Loss of green land / nature More cars on already congested roads which will result in even more pot holes!!!! Doctor's surgeries are already oversubscribed resulting in it being difficult to get an appointment	None
6/4/2025 9:50:22	WS7 2EL	No	Loss of green belt and pressure on local facilities	Nonr
6/4/2025 9:51:12	WS7 2EL	No		

Timestamp	Your Postcode	Do you support the proposed building development?	What concerns do you have about the development?	What benefits do you see in the development?
6/5/2025 8:06:26	WS79JT	No	<p>I object to the proposed planning application as there is no regard to upgrading the current infrastructure.</p> <p>The existing infrastructure will not cope with the increase of construction and residential traffic. With up to 300 new houses being built on the site.</p> <p>The current proposal will be serviced by one busy residential road and several narrow lanes. There has been no mention to upgrade these. Church Road and the estate roads are extremely busy at school times.</p> <p>The developers continually say how eco-friendly the new houses will be but as we live in an area with limited parking spaces, this is not the case.</p> <p>The doctors in the area are already overwhelmed, it now takes a minimum of 4 weeks to get an appointment.</p> <p>The developer also states 'There is the potential for a parcel of land to be safeguarded for the future expansion of the site.'</p> <p>Another concern is the stream which runs through the site. With the extra building and tarmacking the surrounding area, this will impact the stream.</p> <p>What impact assessments have been done on all of the above and if they have been done where are they?</p>	At the moment none. If the infrastructure was improved, then there would be benefits.
6/5/2025 19:08:55	WS79EA	No	<p>There is lack of infrastructure, The roads and lanes around the said proposed site would not be able to cope with the increase in traffic.</p> <p>I object to the proposed planning application on Coulter Lane, Burntwood. The impact of such a development on the surrounding area would be significant.</p> <p>The thoroughfares around that area are narrow lanes. It is already perilous to walk, cycle or horse ride down these lanes.</p> <p>There is no public transport near Coulter Lane. This can only lead to more use of cars. That does not contribute to a sustainable development.</p> <p>Church Road is a very busy road, particularly at school times. Along with potential construction traffic, this will make the road even more congested.</p>	I see no benefits what so ever
6/5/2025 19:37:53	WS79JT	No	<p>There is no mention in the proposal of upgrading the infrastructure.</p> <p>Doctors and dentists are already full to capacity with patients. One has to wait at least 4 weeks for a GP appointment.</p> <p>Another reason for my objection is the provision of extra capacity at Fulfen School. Land may well be set aside for a school.</p> <p>My understanding is that the Coulter Lane site acts as a "flood plain" for Church Road. There is a stream in the area.</p>	Nothing until improved infrastructure is in place.
6/5/2025 20:15:15	WS7 9JE	No	<p>I feel the development is inappropriate for the following key reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Loss of Openness: The construction of 300 homes would severely compromise the openness and rural character of the area. 2. Infrastructure Pressure: A development of this size would place unsustainable pressure on local infrastructure, particularly roads and parking. 3. Environmental Impact: Building on this land risks destruction of habitats, loss of biodiversity, and increased carbon footprint. 4. Precedent for Future Encroachment: Approving this development sets a dangerous precedent that could encourage further inappropriate development in the area. 	None
6/7/2025 9:27:14	WS7 9JG	No	<p>I've lived in Burntwood for 45 years and the small town has grown considerably. It is a great place to live and work in.</p> <p>I work in education and have worked in local schools throughout my career and know the community well.</p> <p>The provisions for, health care, education and care facilities are inadequate already in Burntwood, if more is added, it will be even more so.</p>	None at present

Timestamp	Your Postcode	Do you support the proposed building development?	What concerns do you have about the development?	What benefits do you see in the development?
6/7/2025 17:52:00	WS12 4FF	No	More green belt lost when plenty of brownfield which is a waste	I agree we need new homes for pe
6/22/2025 17:10:39	WS79DP	No	Present infrastructure not viable. We would lose more green fields and wildlife. Bloor homes justification c	None for Burntwood.
6/24/2025 20:08:37	Ws7 9jx	No	Too many houses, shops to cause local problems with kids hanging around causing trouble	